

PREA Facility Audit Report: Final

Name of Facility: Prince William County Juvenile Detention Center

Facility Type: Juvenile

Date Interim Report Submitted: NA

Date Final Report Submitted: 10/14/2019

Auditor Certification	
The contents of this report are accurate to the best of my knowledge.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No conflict of interest exists with respect to my ability to conduct an audit of the agency under review.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
I have not included in the final report any personally identifiable information (PII) about any inmate/resident/detainee or staff member, except where the names of administrative personnel are specifically requested in the report template.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Auditor Full Name as Signed: Johnitha Rothell McNair	Date of Signature: 10/14/2019

AUDITOR INFORMATION	
Auditor name:	McNair, Johnitha
Address:	
Email:	johnitha@comcast.net
Telephone number:	
Start Date of On-Site Audit:	2019-08-14
End Date of On-Site Audit:	2019-08-15

FACILITY INFORMATION	
Facility name:	Prince William County Juvenile Detention Center
Facility physical address:	14873 Dumfries Rd, Manassas, Virginia - 20112
Facility Phone	7037928300
Facility mailing address:	

Primary Contact	
Name:	Justin Ford
Email Address:	jford@pwcgov.org
Telephone Number:	7037928300

Superintendent/Director/Administrator	
Name:	John T. Dowdy
Email Address:	jdowdy@pwcgov.org
Telephone Number:	7037928300

Facility PREA Compliance Manager	
Name:	
Email Address:	
Telephone Number:	

Facility Health Service Administrator On-Site	
Name:	Teresa Fernandez
Email Address:	TMFernandez@pwcgov.org
Telephone Number:	7037928306

Facility Characteristics	
Designed facility capacity:	72
Current population of facility:	32
Average daily population for the past 12 months:	41
Has the facility been over capacity at any point in the past 12 months?	No
Which population(s) does the facility hold?	
Age range of population:	12- 20
Facility security levels/resident custody levels:	Max/ Close
Number of staff currently employed at the facility who may have contact with residents:	74
Number of individual contractors who have contact with residents, currently authorized to enter the facility:	4
Number of volunteers who have contact with residents, currently authorized to enter the facility:	25

AGENCY INFORMATION	
Name of agency:	Prince William County Juvenile Detention Center
Governing authority or parent agency (if applicable):	
Physical Address:	14873 Dumfries Road, Manassas, Virginia - 20112
Mailing Address:	
Telephone number:	7037928300

Agency Chief Executive Officer Information:	
Name:	Courtney Tierney
Email Address:	ctierney@pwcgov.org
Telephone Number:	7037927520

Agency-Wide PREA Coordinator Information			
Name:	Justin Ford	Email Address:	jford@pwcgov.org

AUDIT FINDINGS

Narrative:

The auditor's description of the audit methodology should include a detailed description of the following processes during the pre-audit, on-site audit, and post-audit phases: documents and files reviewed, discussions and types of interviews conducted, number of days spent on-site, observations made during the site-review, and a detailed description of any follow-up work conducted during the post-audit phase. The narrative should describe the techniques the auditor used to sample documentation and select interviewees, and the auditor's process for the site review.

The notifications of the audit were posted in the facility six weeks prior to the on-site visit of the auditor and evidenced by photos of the notices posted throughout the facility sent to the auditor via email as soon as they were posted. Areas where the notices were posted included: medical, school, each housing unit, administration, gymnasium, and intake. The Pre-Audit Questionnaire was completed and submitted using the Online Audit System (OAS) prior to the on-site portion of the audit. There were several communications (electronic mail and telephone calls) between the auditor and facility staff in reference to the documentation and the audit process. The audit of Prince William Juvenile Detention Center took place on the dates of August 14th and 15th, 2019. The audit team arrived at the facility at 8:30 a.m. on August 14, 2019. An entrance conference was held prior to touring the facility and beginning interviews. Present at the entrance conference were the Superintendent, Assistant Superintendents and the audit team. A complete facility tour was conducted. During the tour, staff members were observed to be posted to provide for optimal supervision of the residents. During the tour, this auditor observed PREA audit notices, PREA signs and informative postings on the multiple ways residents and staff could report sexual abuse and harassment. The postings included addresses and telephone numbers. During the tour and throughout the on-site portion of the audit, the cross-gender announcements were made as staff of the opposite gender entered housing units housing residents of the opposite gender. After touring the facility and observing residents on the housing unit, at recreation, at visitation, receiving professional visits, at medical and in intake meeting with staff, the auditor began interviewing residents and staff in the facility using the required interview protocols and discussions. Thirteen randomly selected staff were interviewed using the interview protocols. Staff interviews covered staff from all shifts. 18 specialized staff interviews were conducted; which included medical and mental health, investigations, intermediate and higher-level facility staff, administrative staff, members of the incident review team, designated staff charged with monitoring for retaliation, intake staff, and staff that perform screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness. 28 of the 28 residents assigned to the facility were interviewed. The files of every resident assigned to the facility were reviewed by the auditor to ensure the reception of PREA related intake and orientation information, as well as the provision of the screening and risk/vulnerability assessments. Resident education materials including resident sign-in sheets were reviewed to ensure the delivery of the required PREA related education materials within ten days of intake. The Superintendent (Agency Head), and both Assistant Superintendents, one of which serves as the PREA Coordinator, were interviewed. The audit team departed the facility at 7:30 p.m. The audit team arrived at the facility the next morning at 8:00 a.m. to complete interviews, review files, video of unannounced rounds and other related documentation. Documentation reviewed included, staff training files, personnel files, review of backgrounds checks, and review of mandatory PREA related disclosure documentation, a random sampling of facility logs, shift documentation, policies and procedures, video, training records/logs and curriculum. Prior to the on-site portion of the audit, during the on-site portion of the audit, and after its conclusion, additional documentation was provided as requested. At the conclusion of the document review and the completion of all interviews an exit conference was held Superintendent and both Assistant Superintendents at 3:30 p.m. on August 15, 2019.

AUDIT FINDINGS

Facility Characteristics:

The auditor's description of the audited facility should include details about the facility type, demographics and size of the inmate or resident population, numbers and type of staff positions, configuration and layout of the facility, numbers of housing units, description of housing units including any special housing units, a description of programs and services, including food service and recreation. The auditor should describe how these details are relevant to PREA implementation and compliance.

Prince William County Juvenile Detention Center (PWC JDC) is a 72-bed, co-ed detention facility designed and licensed to provide secure detention for juvenile 10-17 years of age. The facility is made up of one large building with no buildings adjacent to it. Nearby are additional County buildings and services. The mission of the facility is: "To protect the community, staff and youth we serve; provide structured programs in a humane environment and promote healthy emotional, educational and social development".

PWC JDC opened for operations in 1979. The facility is located in the hub of Prince William County, Virginia. The facility is part of the Residential Services Division of the Prince William County Department of Social Services. The facility provides services to Prince William County, Manassas City and Manassas Park. The facility has six housing units. All units have two private showers and two toilets. Each individual sleeping room is equipped with a sink and toilet.

Residents receive educational services, mental and somatic health services, recreational programming, behavior management, treatment services, and family engagement and visitation. The residents have access to outside and indoor recreation. Residents are primarily placed in secure detention pending adjudication and disposition from a court with jurisdiction of the charges. Following disposition, a small percentage of Residents are detained pending transport to other correctional centers or specialized Residential programs. Residents in the facility who are assigned to the Community Placement Program may receive crisis intervention, substance abuse, aggression replacement therapy, sex offender treatment, counseling and educational services while in custody at the center.

All residents participate in the center's behavior management program (the Level System) which rewards positive prosocial behavior and progressively addresses negative behaviors. Residents are afforded due process and have unrestricted access to the Resident Grievance Procedure.

A structured daily routine provides consistency and predictability for residents and staff. The daily schedule maps out all facets of the day including meals, school, treatment services, health care, physical exercise, family visitation and bedtime. Residents enjoy recreational activities on the housing units, in the indoor gym and outdoor recreation areas. Activities include a variety of sports that include basketball, volleyball, weight training, yoga, video games, arts and crafts, and football. Residents also have access to television, board games, reading, cards, and puzzles. A typical day for a resident involves hygiene, meals, school, recreation, structured physical and leisure activities and visits from family. In addition, participation in required activities limits the opportunity for residents to act out due to boredom or lack of engagement.

While medical services are provided on site, when an emergency problem arises the problem is identified and the nurse coordinates care with Sentara Hospital Woodbridge.

The facility is licensed and accredited by the Virginia Department of Juvenile Justice. Security and supervision of residents are heightened and supplemented by video cameras which are located throughout the interior and exterior of the facility; the surveillance system permits for recording and playback which support the investigatory process.

During the on-site portion of the audit, residents were observed receiving various services, participating in large-muscle exercise during indoor and outdoor recreation, receiving visits, engaging in structured

activities on the units, and group and individual counseling. Residents were engaging and participatory in their programming. The residential staff were observed by the auditor to be properly posted and observant in the monitoring of resident across all shifts. The facility was clean and well maintained. The housing units were decorated with artwork by the residents and murals completed by residents and community-based volunteers.

AUDIT FINDINGS

Summary of Audit Findings:

The summary should include the number of standards exceeded, number of standards met, and number of standards not met, along with a list of each of the standards in each category. If relevant, provide a summarized description of the corrective action plan, including deficiencies observed, recommendations made, actions taken by the agency, relevant timelines, and methods used by the auditor to reassess compliance. Auditor Note: No standard should be found to be "Not Applicable" or "NA". A compliance determination must be made for each standard.

Number of standards exceeded:	0
Number of standards met:	43
Number of standards not met:	0

Summary of Audit Findings:

Breakdown of Standards Compliance:

43 Standards Met

115.311;115.312;115.313;115.315;11316;115.317;115.318;115.321;115.322;
 115.331;115.332;115.333;115.334; 115.335;115.341;115.342;115.351; 115.352; 115.353; 115.354;
 115.361; 115.362; 115.363; 115.364; 115.365;
 115.366;115.367;115.368;115.371;115.372;115.373;115.376;115.377;
 115.378;115.381;115.382;115.383; 115.386; 115.387; 115.388; 115.389;115.401;115.402

Standards

Auditor Overall Determination Definitions

- Exceeds Standard
(Substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard
(substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the stand for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard
(requires corrective actions)

Auditor Discussion Instructions

Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

115.311	Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>The agency's Zero Tolerance Policy is addressed in the policy manual Chapter 100, Number 100, pp. 1 - 3: Mission, Vision and Philosophy and Chapter 300 page 300, pp. 1 – 12: Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA). The Mission, Vision and Philosophy policy state's the agency's Zero Tolerance for all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The PREA policy details the agency's approach to implementing the Federal PREA standards and includes the agency's strategies and response to reduce and prevent sexual abuse and sexual harassment of residents. The policy prohibits all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment and reiterates the agency's zero tolerance policy. The policy provides definitions of prohibited behaviors. In addition to outlining the agency's approach to preventing, detecting, and responding to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, the policy provides sanctions for those found to have participated in prohibited behaviors. The agency presented the organizational chart which shows the organizational structure and the position of the PREA Coordinator (Assistant Superintendent). Because the agency has only one juvenile detention center, there is no PREA Compliance Manager. The PREA Coordinator (Assistant Superintendent) reports directly to the Superintendent. In keeping with the expectation of this standard, the PREA Coordinator confirmed through the interview process with the auditor that he has sufficient time and authority to develop, implement and oversee efforts to comply with the PREA standards.</p> <p>Evidence relied upon to make auditor determination:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prince William County Juvenile Detention Center Policy 100 • Prince William County Juvenile Detention Center Policy 300 • Prince William County Juvenile Detention Center Pre-Audit Questionnaire • Prince William County Juvenile Detention Center Organizational Chart • Interviews with PREA Coordinator and Superintendent

115.312	Contracting with other entities for the confinement of residents
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>The agency operates one facility and does not contract with other entities for the confinement of residents, this standard does not apply.</p> <p>Evidence relied upon to make auditor determination:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prince William County Juvenile Detention Center Pre-Audit Questionnaire • Interview with Superintendent • Interview with PREA Coordinator

115.313	Supervision and monitoring
	<p data-bbox="252 170 896 203">Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard</p> <p data-bbox="252 248 523 282">Auditor Discussion</p> <p data-bbox="252 327 1485 1559"> The agency presented the current Staffing Plan and current and previous Facility Staffing, Logistics Assessments which detail the process by which current staffing, possible changes to staffing, and future staffing determinations are analyzed and made with consideration given to physical plant and resident population. Additionally, the PREA Coordinator and Superintendent confirm that during the review, due weight and consideration is given to the following factors: : (1) generally accepted juvenile detention and correctional/secure residential practices; (2) any judicial findings of inadequacy; (3) any findings of inadequacy from Federal investigative agencies; (4) any findings of inadequacy from internal or external oversight bodies; (5) all components of the facility’s physical plant (including “blind spots” or areas where staff or residents may be isolated; (6) the composition of the resident population; (7) the number and placement of supervisory staff; (8) institution programs occurring on a particular shift; (9) any applicable State or local laws, regulations, or standards; (10) the prevalence of substantiated and unsubstantiated incidents of sexual abuse; and (11) any other relevant factors. Further, the facility is required to maintain a staff to resident ration of 1:8 during waking hours and 1:16 during sleeping hours. Only security staffs are included in the staffing ratios. During the past twelve months, there have been no deviations from the staffing plan. In addition to direct supervision of residents, the facility is equipped with a video monitoring system which supplements supervision by staff and supports efforts to comply with the PREA standards to ensure a safe environment for all. The auditor was able to observe staff postings during waking and sleeping hours, as well as the use of the camera system to supplement supervision and monitoring; including playback of data and captured video. Policy 300, Number 301 (Unannounced Rounds) requires intermediate and higher-level staff to conduct unannounced rounds to identify and deter staff sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Rounds are conducted across all shifts. The rounds are documented on the unannounced monitoring report. A physical review of documentation, video of unannounced rounds and various staff interviews confirmed the practice of unannounced rounds. Policy 300, number 301 prohibits staff from alerting others the unannounced rounds are taking place. Further, a review of documentation, physical observations by the auditor and interviews of various staff confirm that PWCJDC maintains a staffing ratio of 1:6 at all times. </p> <p data-bbox="252 1615 935 1648">Evidence relied upon to make auditor determination:</p> <ul data-bbox="252 1697 1337 2119" style="list-style-type: none"> • Prince William County Juvenile Detention Center Policy 300 • PWCJDC PREA Staffing, Logistics Assessment • Prince William County Juvenile Detention Center Staffing Plan • Prince William County Juvenile Detention Center Pre-Audit Questionnaire • Prince William County Juvenile Detention Center Unannounced Monitoring Report • Auditor Review of Video Files of Unannounced Rounds • Observations of the Auditor during the on-site tour • Interview with PREA Coordinator • Interview with staff • Interviews with Superintendent

115.315	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>Policy 300 Chapter 302 (Limits to Cross-gender Viewing and Searches) outlines that cross-gender searches are prohibited; this includes both pat searches and strip searches. Staffs who perform pat downs and strip searches are trained in how to conduct searches of transgender and intersex residents in a professional and respectful manner and in the least intrusive manner possible while ensuring the safety and security of the facility. Additionally, this policy prohibits physically examining a transgender or intersex resident for the sole purpose of determining the resident’s genital status. The policy establishes that cavity searches may only be conducted by medical professionals. During the past 12 months, there were no cross-gender strip or visual body cavity searches and no cross-gender pat-down searches of residents. Interviews with residents and staff confirm compliance with agency policy and procedures regarding searches. Policy 300 Chapter 302 requires staff of the opposite gender of residents on a particular housing unit to announce themselves prior to entering the housing unit. To further ensure compliance with policy and this standard, a sign is posted outside the door of each housing unit reminding staff of the requirement to make the cross-gender announcement prior to entering the housing unit. Observations made by the auditor during the on-site portion of the audit and interviews with residents and staff confirmed the practice of the cross-gender announcement. Policy 300 Chapter 302 ensures the provision of privacy for residents when they may be changing clothes, showering and performing bodily functions. A review of training documentation related to searches, as well as interviews with staff and residents support the practices as outlined by policy and in keeping with compliance with the standard.</p> <p>Evidence relied upon to make auditor determination:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prince William County Juvenile Detention Center, Policy 300 Chapter 301 • Training sign in sheets and curriculum • Prince William County Juvenile Detention Center Pre-Audit Questionnaire • Interview with residents and staff • Interview with PREA Coordinator

115.316	Residents with disabilities and residents who are limited English proficient
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>relevant review period)</p> <p>Policy 300 Chapter 300 (Prison Rape Elimination Act) requires that the agency takes the appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency’s efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Additionally, residents requiring the assistance of an interpreter will be provided such assistance through the use of bi-lingual staff and the use of a contract with Language Line. The policy also prohibits the use of resident interpreters, resident readers or other types of resident assistants. Various forms are available to youth in both English and Spanish and PREA posters and information is also posted in English and Spanish throughout the agency. The agency has had no residents with disabilities in the last 12 months. Resident and staff interviews verified the agency does not use resident assistants and there were no instances of resident interpreter or readers being used in the past 12 months.</p> <p>Evidence relied upon to make auditor determination:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prince William County Juvenile Detention Center Policy 300 Chapter 300 • Review of Various forms translated into Spanish • Prince William County Juvenile Detention Center Pre-Audit Questionnaire • Interview with residents, and staff • Interview with PREA Coordinator • Interview with Agency Head/Director

115.317	Hiring and promotion decisions
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>Policy 300 Chapter 300 (Prison Rape Elimination Act) “All JDC employees, volunteers, and contracted staff who interact with youth shall be subject to Criminal and Child Protective Services Background (CPS) and Sex Offender Registry checks in accordance with the DJJ/DSS Criminal Background Standards/Policy. Criminal background checks will be conducted on all employees every 5 years.</p> <p>All applicants whether applying for employment or applying for a promotional opportunity will be screened for previous misconduct. All employees will be asked during evaluations to disclose any previous misconduct. In the past 12 months, 12 persons hired who may have contact with residents have had criminal background record checks completed. The facility has four contracts with contractors and volunteers and all contractors and volunteers have completed background checks. Interviews with staff, the PREA Coordinator and the Superintendent confirm compliance with this standard.</p> <p>Evidence relied upon to make auditor determination:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prince William County Juvenile Detention Center Policy 300 Chapter 300 • Prince William County Juvenile Detention Center Pre-Audit Questionnaire • Interviews with staff • Review of staff files

115.318	Upgrades to facilities and technologies
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>Prince William County Juvenile Detention Center has not acquired a new facility agency or made any substantial expansions or modifications to the existing facility since August 20, 2012. The agency has installed and made updates to the video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology since August 20, 2012. The agency has digital cameras which have the capacity to store and retain data. The system supplements supervision and monitoring and aids in the completion of investigation into allegations of abuse and or misconduct. No less than once a year the management team, including the PREA Coordinator meets to discuss whether additional cameras or other monitoring technology such as mirrors might be needed to reduce blind spots or other supervision issues related to the physical plant.</p> <p>Evidence relied upon to make auditor determination:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prince William County Juvenile Detention Center Pre-Audit Questionnaire • Observations of the Auditor during the on-site Tour • Observations of the Auditor during video review • Interviews with Staff • Interview with PREA Coordinator • Interview with Superintendent

115.321	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>The facility only conducts administrative investigations related to sexual abuse investigations. Virginia Department of Juvenile Justice also investigates allegations of sexual abuse. The Prince William County Sheriff's Office has the responsibility for conducting all criminal investigations including those regarding sexual abuse. The Prince William County Sheriff's Office follows a uniform evidence protocol that is developmentally appropriate for youth when conducting sexual abuse investigations. A Memorandum of Understanding between the facility and Sheriff's Office was presented for review and specifies roles of each of the parties. The PWJDC Facility Operating Procedure 300 requires that all residents who experience sexual abuse in the facility have access to forensic medical examinations without financial cost to the victim. The procedure also requires that where possible the forensic examinations are conducted by a Sexual Assault Forensic Examiner (SAFE) or a Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner (SANE). When a SAFE or SANE is not available a qualified medical practitioner performs forensic medical examinations. Efforts to provide access to a SAFE or SANE are documented by the facility. The facility utilizes Sentara Hospital Woodbridge for medical treatment of residents. There have been no forensic examinations conducted in the past 12 months.</p> <p>The facility attempts to make a victim advocate from a rape crisis center available to the victim, in person or by other means. This is supported by the facility's relationship through a Memorandum of with the ACTS Sexual Assault Services which was confirmed by the presentation and review of the agreement between PWJDC and ACTS. The agreement verified that ACTS would provide victim advocacy to residents of the facility who experience sexual abuse. The PWJDC Standard Operating Procedure also requires that if and when a rape crisis center is not able to provide victim advocacy services that facility shall make the services available by a qualified agency staff member. Additionally, the procedure requires that as requested by the victim, the victim advocate or qualified agency staff member, shall accompany and support the victim through the forensic medical examination process and investigatory interviews and shall provide emotional support, crisis intervention, information and referrals.</p> <p>Evidence relied upon to make auditor determination:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Memorandum of Understanding with ACTS • Prince William Juvenile Detention Center Facility Operating Procedure (PREA) • Interviews with staff • Interviews with PREA Coordinator • Prince William County Juvenile Detention Center Pre-Audit Questionnaire

115.322	Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>The PWJDC Facility Operating Procedure Chapter 300 directs the referrals of allegations for investigations and ensures that all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment are administratively and or criminally investigated. Allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are referred for investigation to an agency with the legal authority to conduct criminal investigations, unless the allegation does not involve potentially criminal behavior. The agency documents all referrals of allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment for criminal investigation. In the past 12 months, there were five allegations of sexual abuse received by the facility; none of the five were referred for criminal investigation. Additionally, the agency's policy regarding the referral of allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment for a criminal investigation is published on the agency website or made publicly available via other means. Interviews with the Superintendent and the PREA Coordinator and random staff confirmed their knowledge and understanding of, as well as their compliance with the policy's requirements.</p> <p>Evidence relied upon to make auditor determination:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prince William Juvenile Detention Center Facility Operating Procedure (PREA) • Prince William Juvenile Detention Center Pre-Audit Questionnaire • Review of allegations and administrative reviews of the allegations • Interview with PREA Coordinator • Interview with Superintendent • Interviews with Random Staff

115.331	Employee training
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>PWJDC Standard Operating Procedure PREA and the PWJDC PREA Training Modules (Employee Training) cover the agency’s requirements for staff training. The training curriculum, staff training records and staff interviews indicate that at a minimum, staffs receive PREA training during staff orientation and annually during refresher training. The training curriculum provided covered: the agency’s zero tolerance policy, fulfilling responsibilities related to preventing, detecting, reporting, and response procedures; resident’s rights to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment; the rights of residents and employees to be free from retaliation for reporting sexual abuse or sexual harassment; the dynamics of sexual abuse and harassment in juvenile facilities; the common reactions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment victims; detecting and responding to signs of actual and threatened sexual abuse; avoiding inappropriate relationships with residents; communicating professionally and respectfully with residents, including those residents who are lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, intersex and gender non-conforming residents; how to comply with relevant laws related to mandatory reporting of sexual abuse to outside authorities; and relevant laws regarding the applicable age of consent. The training is tailored to the unique needs and attributes and gender of the residents at the facility. Employees who are reassigned from facilities housing the opposite gender are given additional training. The facility has 74 staff that may have contact with residents, who were trained or retrained on the PREA requirements. Between trainings the agency provides employees who may have contact with residents with refresher information about current policies regarding sexual abuse and harassment through shift briefings and regular meetings. Additionally, staff carry first responder cards and are issued copies of a handheld standards booklet. The frequency with which employees who may have contact with residents receive refresher training on PREA requirements is annually and more frequently as needed. The agency ensures that employees who may have contact with residents understand the training they have received through employee signature.</p> <p>Evidence relied upon to make auditor determination:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prince William Juvenile Detention Center Standard Operating Procedure (PREA) • Prince William Juvenile Detention Center PREA Training Module for Employees • Prince William Juvenile Detention Center Pre-Audit Questionnaire • Auditor review of training documentation • Auditor review of training curriculum • Interviews with staff • Interview with PREA Coordinator

115.332	Volunteer and contractor training
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>The PWJDC Facility Operating Procedure requires that all volunteers and contract personnel receive orientation prior to their assignment. This training will include the volunteer's and contractor's responsibilities under the agency's policies and procedures. 29 volunteers and contractors have been trained in the agency's policies and procedures regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, and response. The level and type of training contractors and volunteers are provided is based on the services they provide and the level of contact they have with residents. All volunteers and contractors who may have contact with residents have been notified of the agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment and informed how to report such incidents. The agency also maintains documentation confirming that the volunteers and contractors understand the training they have received.</p> <p>Evidence relied upon to make auditor determination:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prince William Juvenile Detention Center Facility Operating Procedure (PREA) • Auditor review of training curriculum • Auditor review of training documentation • Prince William Juvenile Detention Center Pre-Audit Questionnaire • Interview with PREA Coordinator

115.333	Resident education
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>The PWJDC Standard Operating Procedure requires that upon admission all residents are provided the zero-tolerance policy and PREA Orientation. Resident education is accessible to all residents, including residents who are limited English proficient and those residents who may be deaf, visually impaired or otherwise disabled. During the intake process, staff read and review the zero-tolerance policy and information on how to recognize and report sexual abuse and sexual harassment with each resident. After providing the residents with the required information related to the zero-tolerance policy, staff and the residents sign the orientation documentation; staff acknowledge providing the information and residents acknowledge that the information was received and understood. Additional resident education is provided to each resident within ten days of the intake process. A total of 379 residents admitted to the facility in the past 12 months received information at intake. Of those 379, 303 residents received comprehensive age-appropriate education on their rights to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment, from retaliation for reporting such incidents, and on agency policies and procedures for responding to such incidents within 10 days of intake. Documentation of the residents' and staff's signatures is maintained by the facility. Files for all residents housed at the facility were physically inspected and reviewed by the auditor to confirm the practice of acquiring and maintaining signatures. Further confirmation of resident education was received during resident and staff interviews. The PREA information is presented in a manner that is accessible to all residents. During the facility tour the auditor observed the presence of PREA posters and reporting instructions posted throughout the facility. The facility has access to interpreting and translation services for residents with limited English proficiency and those residents who may have hearing or visual impairments.</p> <p>Evidence relied upon to make auditor determination:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prince William Juvenile Detention Center Facility Operating Procedure (PREA) • Prince William Juvenile Detention Center Pre-Audit Questionnaire • Auditor review of resident education materials • Auditor review of each resident's file • Interviews with Staff • Interviews with Residents • Interviews with PREA Coordinator

115.334	Specialized training: Investigations
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>Prince William Juvenile Detention Center conducts initial inquiries into allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Any allegation that appears criminal in nature is forwarded to law enforcement for an official investigation. The PREA Coordinator and two additional upper-level staff have been trained in conducting sexual abuse investigations in confinement settings to support the administrative inquiries that are conducted at the facility level.</p> <p>Evidence relied upon to make auditor determination:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prince William Juvenile Detention Center Pre-Audit Questionnaire • Prince William Juvenile Detention Center Facility Operating Procedure (PREA) • National Institute of Corrections training certificates for PREA Coordinator and staff • Interview with PREA Coordinator • Interview with Superintendent

115.335	Specialized training: Medical and mental health care
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>PWJDC Facility Operating Procedure ensures training and education of Medical and Mental Health practitioners which addresses compliance with this this standard. While medical staff assigned to the facility do not conduct forensic examinations, the facility transports youth requiring forensic examinations to Sentara Woodbridge Hospital where forensic exams may be conducted. As required by the Standard Operating Procedure, in addition to orientation and training relevant to their positions, all medical and mental health staff are required to receive PREA training. 100% (3 total) of medical and mental health staff have been trained as required by agency policy. The documentation of all training is maintained by the facility.</p> <p>Evidence relied upon to make auditor determination:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prince William Juvenile Detention Center Facility Operating Procedure (PREA) • Prince William Juvenile Detention Center Pre-Audit Questionnaire • Interviews with Medical and Mental Health Staff • Interview with PREA Coordinator • Review of Training Certifications for all medical and mental health staff

115.341	Obtaining information from residents
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>PWJDC Facility Operating Procedure requires designated staff to obtain information about each resident’s personal history and behavior to screen and assess residents for the potential of sexual victimization and abusiveness at intake and periodically throughout the resident’s confinement. This screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness is completed on each resident within 72 hours of intake. The risk assessment is conducted during the intake process using an objective screening instrument. The intake staff may also use information from the courts, probation officer, and or residents’ records. 303 residents who had a length of stay of 72 hours or more were screened during the past 12 months. Staff and resident interviews and a review of every resident record confirmed that the screening for risk of sexual abuse victimization and sexual abusiveness toward other residents is being conducted; including periodic reassessments. The agency has implemented protocols to ensure that information obtained during the risk screening is disseminated only to those with a need to know to ensure that sensitive information of residents is not exploited.</p> <p>Evidence relied upon to make auditor determination:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prince William Juvenile Detention Center Facility Operating Procedure (PREA) • Prince William Juvenile Detention Center Pre-Audit Questionnaire • Review of screenings for all Youth • Review of screening tool • Auditor Interviews with Staff • Auditor Interviews with Residents • Auditor Interviews with PREA Coordinator

115.342	Placement of residents
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>Outlined in the PWJDC Facility Operating Procedure is how the agency will use information from the risk screening to inform housing, bed, work, education, and program assignments with the goal of keeping all residents safe and free from sexual abuse. The Operating Procedure requires that the information gathered from the use of the assessment be shared only to the extent of keeping residents safe and shall be guarded to prevent exploitation of the sensitive information. Further, the Operating Procedure states that Prince William Juvenile Detention Center does not isolate residents from other residents for the sole purpose of keeping them safe. There have been no residents placed in isolation in the last 12 months because he or she was at risk of sexual victimization. The facility prohibits considering lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex (LGBTQI) identification or status as an indicator of likelihood of being sexually abusive, further, policy prohibits placing LGBTQI residents into particular housing, bed or other assignments solely on the basis of such identification or status. Housing and programming assignments for transgender or intersex residents in a facility on a case-by-case basis. A review of resident files revealed all residents were appropriately classified and supervised according to the information gathered from the screening tools. Based on the records review of each resident, interviews of staff, and interviews of residents the facility has demonstrated compliance with this standard.</p> <p>Evidence relied upon to make auditor determination:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prince William Juvenile Detention Center Standard Operating Procedure (PREA) • Prince William Juvenile Detention Center Pre-Audit Questionnaire • Review of Vulnerability Assessment documentation • Interviews with PREA Coordinator • Interviews with Staff • Interviews with Residents • Auditor observation of physical plant • Review of facility schematics

115.351	Resident reporting
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>The PWJDC Facility Operating Procedure requires that the agency has established procedures allowing for multiple internal ways for residents to report privately to agency officials about: sexual abuse; sexual harassment; retaliation by other residents or staff for reporting sexual abuse or sexual harassment; and staff neglect or other violations of responsibilities that may have contributed to such incidents. At PWJDC residents are able to report allegations verbally, in writing, and through third parties. Residents may report allegations anonymously. A resident may complete a counseling request or initiate a grievance; residents have access to writing materials and tools to document any such report. Residents repeatedly reported to this auditor that they may ask to speak to the PREA Coordinator or any administrator. Additionally, residents have access to the resident phone system where they are able to call into the PREA Hotline phone. Posted throughout the facility and near resident phones are the numbers residents may call to report allegations of abuse. They may call the child abuse hotline, Prince WM County Department of Social Services, ACTS, and/or the Office of the Public Defender. Residents reported numerous ways to report abuse. The Standard Operating Procedure mandates that staff accept reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment made verbally, in writing, anonymously and from third parties. All verbal reports must be documented promptly by staff and immediately reported to the Administrator or Assistant Administrator. PWJDC does not detain residents for civil immigration purposes. As mandated reporters, staff are required to report sexual abuse and sexual harassment of residents; staff may privately report directly to any administrator. Staff may also make private reports to human resources. Residents receive reporting information at intake, this information is also found in the resident handbook. Reporting information is posted throughout the facility and adjacent to the telephones to assist residents in making reports using the telephone. Interviews with staff and residents support an understanding of the process including the multiple ways residents may report allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment as well as ways staff may privately report allegations of abuse. In addition to interviews, observations made during the tour confirmed the proper posting of information for residents.</p> <p>Evidence relied upon to make auditor determination:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prince William Juvenile Detention Center Standard Operating Procedure (PREA) • Prince William Juvenile Detention Center PREA Brochure • Prince William Juvenile Detention Center Residents' Guide to Sexual Misconduct • Prince William Juvenile Detention Center Pre-Audit Questionnaire • Auditor Review of forms and reporting documentation • Interviews with Residents • Interviews with Staff • Interview with PREA Coordinator

115.352	Exhaustion of administrative remedies
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>The PWJDC Facility Procedure PREA Policy and Youth Grievance Policy provide the administrative process for dealing with resident grievances regarding sexual abuse. Residents are provided information as to how to file a grievance during the intake process and again within 10 days of intake. The PREA Coordinator reports and the Grievance Policy states that there is no time limit for a resident to submit a grievance regarding an allegation of sexual abuse. PWJDC shall not require any resident to use an informal grievance process, or otherwise to attempt to resolve with staff, an alleged incident of sexual abuse. Further, the agency allows for a resident to submit a grievance alleging sexual abuse without submitting it to the staff member who is the subject of the complaint. Decisions on the merits of any grievance or portion of a grievance alleging sexual abuse is made within 90 days of the filing of the grievance. In the past 12 months there have been no grievances filed that alleged sexual abuse. In the past 12 months there were no grievances alleging sexual abuse that reached a final decision within the 90 days after being filed. In the past 12 months there were no grievances filed alleging sexual abuse that involved extensions because a final decision was not reached within 90 days. Policy requires that residents are notified in writing when the agency files for an extension, including notice of the date by which a decision will be made. Additionally, policy the Standard Operating Procedure permits third parties, including fellow residents, staff members, family members, attorneys, and outside advocates, to assist residents in filing requests for administrative remedies relating to allegations of sexual abuse, and to file such requests on behalf of residents. The Procedure requires that if the resident declines to have third-party assistance in filing a grievance alleging sexual abuse, the agency documents a resident's decision to decline. Further, policy allows parents or legal guardians of residents to file a grievance alleging sexual abuse, including appeals, on behalf of such resident, regardless of whether or not the resident agrees to having the grievance filed on their behalf. There have been no grievances alleging sexual abuse filed by residents in the past 12 months in which the resident declined third-party assistance, containing documentation of a resident's decision to decline. As it relates to the filing of emergency grievances alleging substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, policy outlines the established procedures. This policy requires an initial response within 8 hours after filing an emergency grievance alleging substantial risk of sexual abuse and requires the agency to issue a final decision be issued within five days. Lastly, policy and the Standard Operating Procedure limits the ability to discipline a resident for filing a grievance alleging sexual abuse to occasions where the agency demonstrates that the resident filed the grievance in bad faith. In the past 12 months there have been no resident grievances alleging sexual abuse that resulted in disciplinary action by the agency against the resident for having filed the grievance in bad faith.</p> <p>Evidence relied upon to make auditor determination:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prince William Juvenile Detention Center Facility Operating Procedure (PREA) • Prince William Juvenile Detention Center Grievance Policy • Interviews with Staff • Interviews with Residents • Interview PREA Coordinator



115.353	Resident access to outside confidential support services and legal representation
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>PWJDC Operating Procedure requires the facility to provide residents with access to outside victim advocates for emotional support services related to sexual abuse by providing, posting, or otherwise making accessible mailing addresses and telephone numbers, including toll-free hotline numbers of local, State, or national victim advocacy or rape crisis organization. The policy also requires the facility to enable reasonable communication between residents and these organizations in as confidential manner as possible. The facility informs residents, prior to giving them access to outside support services, the extent to which such communication will be monitored. The facility informs residents prior to giving them access to outside support services, of the mandatory reporting rules governing privacy, confidentiality, and/or privilege that apply for disclosures of sexual abuse made to outside victim advocates, including any limits to confidentiality under relevant Federal, State or local law. The agency maintains memoranda of agreements with community service providers (ACTS) that are able to provide residents with emotional support services related to sexual abuse. The facility maintains copies of the memorandum of agreement. The facility provides residents with reasonable and confidential access to their attorneys or other legal representation. Residents are able to call, write and visit with parents and legal guardians. Resident interviews confirmed that they were aware of the telephone numbers and addresses and how to contact outside support services. While the answers in the resident interview protocol varied related to service provision from outside support, residents understood that they would be helped and that the help would be confidential and supportive in nature. Staff and resident interviews confirmed that residents have reasonable access and that access is provided in as confidential a manner as possible. Interviews with residents and staff confirm that residents have access to their attorneys, other legal representation, as well as parents and legal guardians. Residents reported that they were able to make phone calls, visit with and send and receive mail from parents and guardians and their legal representatives.</p> <p>Evidence relied upon to make auditor determination:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prince William Juvenile Detention Center Operating Procedure (PREA) • Prince William Juvenile Detention Center Pre-Audit Questionnaire • Observations of the auditor made during the Facility Tour • Memorandum of Agreement with ACTS • Interviews with Residents • Interviews with Staff • Interviews with PREA Coordinator

115.354	Third-party reporting
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>The PWJDC has established a method to receive third-party reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment and shall distribute publicly information on how to report sexual abuse and sexual harassment on behalf of a resident. Staff and resident interviews revealed all were aware of a resident’s right to report sexual abuse or sexual harassment to a trusted staff member as well as others outside of the facility including their parents/legal guardians and attorneys. During staff interviews the responses indicated that staff clearly understood to immediately report and document all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Staff and residents were able to provide various examples of third parties, including “parents, guardians, trusted adults, and attorneys”.</p> <p>Evidence relied upon to make auditor determination:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prince William Juvenile Detention Center Operating Procedure (PREA) • Prince William Juvenile Detention Center Questionnaire • Interviews with Staff • Interviews with Residents • Interview with PREA Coordinator

115.361	Staff and agency reporting duties
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>PWJDC Operating Procedure requires staff to immediately report any knowledge, suspicion, or information they receive regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment that occurred in a facility, whether or not it is part of the agency. The agency requires all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any retaliation against resident or staff who reported such incident. The agency requires all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident or retaliation policy also requires all staff to comply with applicable mandatory child abuse reporting laws. Medical and mental health practitioners are required to immediately report sexual abuse to designated supervisors and officials, as well as to the designated State or local services agency here required by mandatory reporting laws. Additionally, medical and mental health practitioners report their understanding of the requirement to inform residents at the initiation of services of their duty to report and the limitations of confidentiality. Upon receiving any allegation of sexual abuse, the superintendent or her designee shall promptly report the allegation to the appropriate agency office and to the alleged victim's parents or legal guardians, unless the facility has official documentation showing the parents or legal guardians should not be notified. If the alleged victim is under the guardianship of the child welfare system, the report shall be made to the alleged victim's caseworker instead of the parents or legal guardians. If a juvenile court retains jurisdiction over the alleged victim, the facility head or designee shall also report the allegation to the juvenile's attorney or other legal representative of record within 14 days of receiving the allegation. Other than to the extent necessary to make treatment, investigatory, or security and management decisions; agency policy prohibits staff from disclosing or revealing information regarding an allegation of abuse to anyone other than designated supervisors, officials, and designated State or local service agencies. All allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including third-party and anonymous reports, are referred to the facility's designated investigator.</p> <p>Evidence relied upon to make auditor determination:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prince William Juvenile Detention Center Standard Operating Procedure (PREA) • Prince William Juvenile Detention Center Questionnaire • Interviews with Staff • Interviews with Medical and Mental Health practitioners • Interview with PREA Coordinator

115.362	Agency protection duties
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>WJDC requires that when an agency or facility learns that a resident is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, it takes immediate action to protect the resident (i.e., it takes some action to assess and implement appropriate protective measures without unreasonable delay). In the past twelve months there have been no times the agency or facility has determined that a resident was subject to substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse. During interviews with staff, staff responded that they would take all allegations seriously. The responses of staff were in keeping with the policy outlining agency protection duties.</p> <p>Evidence relied upon to make auditor determination:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prince William Juvenile Detention Center Operating Procedure (PREA) • Prince William Juvenile Detention Center Pre-Audit Questionnaire • Interviews with staff • Interview with PREA Coordinator • Interview with Superintendent

115.363	Reporting to other confinement facilities
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>The PWJDC Operating Procedure requires that upon receiving an allegation from a resident that he/she was sexually abused while confined at another confinement facility (i.e., detention center, Juvenile Correctional Center), the Superintendent or designee that received the allegation shall notify the head of the facility or appropriate office of the agency where the alleged abuse occurred and shall also notify the appropriate investigative agency. Such notification shall be made as soon as possible but no later than 72 hours after receiving the allegation. PWJDC shall document that it has provided such notification. The facility head or agency office that receives such notification shall ensure that the allegation is investigated in accordance with the standards. During the past 12 months, there were no allegations received that a resident was abused while confined at another facility nor were there allegations during the past 12 months of sexual abuse received by PWJDC from other facilities. The interview with the facility Superintendent demonstrated her clear understanding of this policy and the PREA standard and her duty to immediately report allegations received of abuse of residents while confined at other facilities as well as the prompt investigations of reports to her of allegations received from other facilities regarding abuses that may have taken place at PWJDC.</p> <p>Evidence relied upon to make auditor determination:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prince William Juvenile Detention Center Operating Procedure (PREA) • Prince William Juvenile Detention Center Pre-Audit Questionnaire • Interview with Superintendent • Interview with PREA Coordinator

115.364	Staff first responder duties
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>The PWJDC Standard Operating Procedure requires staff to take specific steps to respond to a report of sexual abuse including: separating the alleged victim from the abuser; preserving and protect any crime scene until the appropriate steps can be taken to collect evidence; If the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence request the alleged victim not take any action that could destroy physical evidence; and document in writing the information received regarding the incident. The staff have first responder cards which will assist them in following the proper protocols in responding to a PREA situation. Interviews with staff confirm they understand the importance of their roles in ensuring that the alleged abuser does not take any action to destroy physical evidence. If the abuse occurred within a time period, that still allows for the collection of physical evidence ensure the alleged abuse not take any action that could destroy physical evidence; and document in writing the information received regarding the incident. During staff interviews, they were able to speak to the aforementioned steps and their responses demonstrated a clear understanding of their roles as first responders. Medical and mental health staff were able to speak to their roles as responders to an incident once notified by staff. Medical spoke to completing an initial assessment of injuries and rendering aid as required. Medical also stated that any incident requiring a forensic medical examination would be referred out to Sentara Woodbridge Hospital for examination by a Sexual Assault Forensic Examiner or Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner. In the past 12 months there no allegations that a resident was sexually abused. Additionally, agency policy requires that if the first responder is not a security staff member that responder shall notify security staff and be required to request the alleged victim not take any action that might destroy physical evidence.</p> <p>Evidence relied upon to make auditor determination:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prince William Juvenile Detention Center Operating Procedure (PREA) • Prince William Juvenile Detention Center Pre-Audit Questionnaire • Interviews with Staff (Random, and Specialized) • Interview with PREA Coordinator

115.365	Coordinated response
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>The facility has developed a written institutional plan outlined in the operating procedure to coordinate actions taken in response to an incident of sexual abuse among staff first responders, medical and mental health practitioners, investigators, and facility leadership. This plan is explained in the Operating Procedure and details actions to be taken by staff first responders, medical, mental health, investigators and administrators. The procedure also details the order of the response by action and who is responsible for each action. The procedure was reviewed and complies with this standard. Interviews with the Superintendent and other staff including staff first responders, medical and mental health revealed that they are knowledgeable of their duties in response to an allegation of sexual abuse in keeping with the facility's coordinated response plan, the policy and the PREA standards. The PREA policy and response of staff during interviews demonstrated compliance with this standard.</p> <p>Evidence relied upon to make auditor determination:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prince William Juvenile Detention Center Operating Procedure (PREA) • Interviews with Staff • Interview with Superintendent • Interview with PREA Coordinator

115.366	Preservation of ability to protect residents from contact with abusers
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>Prince William Juvenile Detention Center is not a collective bargaining agency; therefore, this standard is not applicable.</p> <p>Evidence relied upon to make auditor determination:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prince William Juvenile Detention Center Pre-Audit Questionnaire • Interview with Superintendent • Interview with PREA Coordinator

115.367	Agency protection against retaliation
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>Compliance with this standard was found by the responses from interviews held with the PREA Coordinator and Superintendent and as well as guidelines in the PWJDC Standard Operating Procedure which outlines how the agency will protect all residents and staff who report sexual abuse or sexual harassment or cooperate with sexual abuse or sexual harassment investigations from retaliation by other residents or staff. The PREA Coordinator, Superintendent, and Assistant Superintendent or designee shall monitor and investigate any indications of retaliation. Interviews with the Superintendent confirm that he, the Assistant Superintendent, and the PREA Coordinator have been designated by the agency as having the primary responsibility of monitoring for possible retaliation. Interviews with the Superintendent, Assistant Superintendent, and PREA Coordinator demonstrated a clear understanding of the role to monitor for changes that may suggest possible retaliation by residents or staff; they were able to provide various examples including recognizing changes in staff and resident interactions; increased incidents/infractions; increased movement or assignments of residents between housing units; as it related to staff they responded they would look for changes in work habits (low quality – low productivity) calling out of work, increased discipline, changes in work/unit assignments. All reported that they would actively monitor for retaliation by meeting with staff and residents who had reported sexual abuse or sexual harassment or who had cooperated with investigations. During the interviews they indicated that they would respond immediately to remedy retaliation and that they would monitor for retaliation for at least 90 days and longer if the situation called for a longer monitoring period to ensure the safety of residents and or staff. The interview with the Superintendent indicated he would ensure compliance with the policy for monitoring for retaliation by meeting with the Assistant Superintendent and PREA Coordinator to ensure steps for protection were followed as outlined by policy. There were no incidents of retaliation occurring in the past 12 months.</p> <p>Evidence relied upon to make auditor determination:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prince William Juvenile Detention Center Operating Procedure (PREA) • Prince William Juvenile Detention Center Pre-Audit Questionnaire • Interview with PREA Coordinator • Interviews with Superintendent and Assistant Superintendent

115.368	Post-allegation protective custody
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>PWJDC Operating Procedure states that the facility will ensure that adequate measures are taken to provide separation between the alleged victim and alleged suspect, while ensuring that such separation does not represent a form of punishment for the alleged victim; Isolation may only be used as last resort when less restricted measures will not ensure the victims safety until alternate measure can be put in place. Residents who are placed in isolation because they allege to have suffered sexual abuse have access to legally required educational programming, special education services, and daily large-muscle exercise. No residents who alleged to have suffered sexual abuse were placed in isolation during the past 12 months.</p> <p>Evidence relied upon to make auditor determination:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prince William Juvenile Detention Center Operating Procedure (PREA) • Prince William Juvenile Detention Center Pre-Audit Questionnaire • Interview with PREA Coordinator

115.371	Criminal and administrative agency investigations
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>The PWJDC Operating Procedure addresses criminal and administrative investigations. While PWJDC only conducts administrative investigations, the facility ensures all elements of the standards are met. The agency does not terminate an investigation solely because the source of the allegation recants the allegation. Sustained allegations of conduct that appear to be criminal are referred for prosecution. There were no substantiated allegations of conduct that appeared to be criminal referred for prosecution since August 20, 2012. The agency retains all written reports pertaining to administrative or criminal investigations of alleged sexual abuse or sexual harassment for as long as the alleged abuser is incarcerated or employed by the agency plus five years. Departure of the alleged abuser or victim from the employment or control of the facility will not provide a basis for terminating an investigation.</p> <p>Evidence relied upon to make auditor determination:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prince William Juvenile Detention Center Operating Procedure (PREA) • Prince William Juvenile Detention Center Pre-Audit Questionnaire • Interview with PREA Coordinator

115.372	Evidentiary standard for administrative investigations
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>PWJDC Operating Procedure demonstrates compliance with this standard. The policy states the facility shall impose no standard higher than a preponderance of the evidence in determining whether allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are substantiated.</p> <p>Evidence relied upon to make auditor determination:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prince William Juvenile Detention Center Operating Procedure (PREA) • Prince William Juvenile Detention Center Pre-Audit Questionnaire • Interview with PREA Coordinator

115.373	Reporting to residents
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>The PWJDC Operating Procedure requires that any resident who alleges that he or she suffered sexual abuse in the facility is informed verbally or in writing as to whether the allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded following an investigation. In the past 12 months there were no criminal or administrative investigations of alleged resident sexual abuse conducted. Discussion with the PREA Coordinator confirmed that if a criminal investigation is conducted by an outside agency, the facility requests the relevant information from the outside agency in order to inform the resident as to the outcome of the investigation. There were two investigations completed by an outside agency in the past 12 months and two residents notified as to the status of those investigations. The Operating Procedure requires that following a resident's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against a resident, the agency/facility subsequently informs the resident (unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded) whenever: the staff member is no longer posted within the resident's unit, the staff member is no longer employed at the facility, the agency learns that the staff member has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility, or the agency learns that the staff member has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility. All such notifications and attempts of notifications shall be documented. There have been no substantiated or unsubstantiated complaints of sexual abuse committed by a staff member against a resident in the facility in the past 12 months. The Operating Procedure further states that following a resident's allegation that her or she has been sexually abused by another resident in the facility the agency subsequently informs the alleged victim whenever: the agency learns that the alleged abuser has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility; or the agency learns that the alleged abuser has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility. All such notifications are documented. There have been two notifications to residents pursuant to this standard in the past 12 months.</p> <p>Evidence relied upon to make auditor determination:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prince William Juvenile Detention Center Operating Procedure (PREA) • Prince William Juvenile Detention Center Pre-Audit Questionnaire • Interview with PREA Coordinator

115.376	<p>Disciplinary sanctions for staff</p> <p>Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard</p> <p>Auditor Discussion</p> <p>The PWJDC Standard Operating Procedure outlines the agency’s disciplinary response related to violations of PREA policies by staff. Policy states staff will be subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination for violating agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies. In the past 12 months no staff from the facility have violated the agency’s sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies. Additionally, in the past 12 months no staff has been subsequently disciplined short of termination or terminated for violating the agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies. Disciplinary sanctions for violations of agency policies relating to sexual abuse or sexual harassment (other than actually engaging in sexual abuse) shall be commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the acts committed, the staff member’s disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other staff with similar histories. All terminations for violations of PWJDC’s PREA policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, shall be reported to the Prince William County Sheriff’s Office and or Prince William County Police Department, unless the activity was clearly not criminal. All such terminations shall also be reported to relevant licensing bodies. The policy specifically states that the presumptive disciplinary sanction for staff who engages in sexual abuse shall be termination. In the past 12 months, there have been no reports to law enforcement or licensing boards following termination or resignation prior to termination of staff for violating agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies.</p> <p>Evidence relied upon to make auditor determination:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prince William Juvenile Detention Center Standard Operating Procedure (PREA) • Prince William Juvenile Detention Center Pre-Audit Questionnaire • Interview with Superintendent • Interview with PREA Coordinator
---------	---

115.377	Corrective action for contractors and volunteers
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>The PWJDC Standard Operating Procedure states that any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse will be prohibited from contact with residents. The operating procedure also requires that any such contractor or volunteer is reported to law enforcement and relevant licensing bodies unless the activity was clearly not criminal. The Standard Operating Procedure further requires that PWJDC will take appropriate remedial measures and will consider whether to prohibit further contact with residents, in the case of any other violation of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies by a contractor or volunteer. During the past 12 months, no contractor or volunteer has been reported to law enforcement or any agency for allegations of sexual abuse.</p> <p>Evidence relied upon to make auditor determination:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prince William Juvenile Detention Center Standard Operating Procedure (PREA) • Prince William Juvenile Detention Center Pre-Audit Questionnaire • Interview with Superintendent • Interview with PREA Coordinator

115.378	Interventions and disciplinary sanctions for residents
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>The PWJDC Standard Operating Procedure Chapter 300, Number 300 addresses elements of this standard and states that a resident may be subject to disciplinary sanctions only pursuant to a formal disciplinary process following an administrative finding that the resident engaged in resident-on-resident sexual abuse or following a criminal finding of guilt for resident-on-resident sexual abuse. In the past 12 months there have been no administrative findings of resident on resident sexual abuse that have occurred at the facility. In the past 12 months there have been no criminal findings of guilt of resident-on-resident sexual abuse occurring at the facility. In the event a disciplinary sanction results in the isolation of a resident, PWJDC shall not deny the resident daily large-muscle exercise or access to any legally required educational programming or special education services. In the event a disciplinary sanction results in the isolation of a resident, PWJDC shall ensure that residents in isolation shall receive daily visits from a medical or mental health care clinician. Residents shall also have access to other programs and work opportunities; to the extent possible. In the past 12 months there have been no residents placed in isolation as a disciplinary sanction for resident-on-resident sexual abuse. Interviews from staff confirm that the facility offers therapy, counseling or other interventions designed to address and correct the underlying reasons or motivations for abuse. Additionally, interviews confirm that the facility offers the same services to offending residents and that the facility may require participation in interventions as a condition of access to rewards-based behavior management system or other behavior-based incentives. Access to general programming or education is not conditional on participation in such interventions. PWJDC disciplines residents for sexual contact with staff only upon finding that the staff member did not consent to such contact. For the purpose of disciplinary action, the agency prohibits disciplinary action for a report of sexual abuse made in good faith based upon a reasonable belief that the alleged conduct occurred shall not constitute falsely reporting an incident or lying, even if an investigation does not establish evidence sufficient to substantiate the allegation. The agency prohibits all sexual activity between residents and disciplines residents for such activity, the agency deems such activity to constitute sexual abuse only if it determines that the activity is coerced.</p> <p>Evidence relied upon to make auditor determination:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prince William Juvenile Detention Center Standard Operating Procedure (PREA) • Prince William Juvenile Detention Center Pre-Audit Questionnaire • Interview with Superintendent • Interview with medical staff • Interview with Mental Health Clinician • Interview with PREA Coordinator

115.381	Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>The PWJDC Standard Operating Procedure Chapter 300, Number 300 states that all residents at this facility who have disclosed any prior sexual victimization during a screening pursuant to §115.341 are offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner within 14 days. In the past 12 months, the percent of residents who disclosed prior victimization during screening who were offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner is 100%. Medical and mental health staff maintain secondary materials documenting compliance with this required service. All residents who previously perpetrated sexual abuse, as indicated during the screening pursuant to §115.341, are offered a follow-up meeting with a mental health practitioner and that meeting will take place within 14 days of the intake screening. In the past 12 months 100% of residents who disclosed previously perpetrating sexual abuse, as indicated during screening were offered a follow-up meeting with a mental health practitioner. Mental health staff maintain secondary materials documenting compliance with this service. Interviews with medical and mental health practitioners confirm that the practitioners obtain informed consent from residents before reporting information about prior sexual victimization that did not occur in an institutional setting, unless the resident is under the age of 18.</p> <p>Evidence relied upon to make auditor determination:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prince William Juvenile Detention Center Standard Operating Procedure (PREA) • Prince William Juvenile Detention Center Pre-Audit Questionnaire • Auditor review of documentation in resident files of all residents in the facility during on-site portion of the audit • Interview with Superintendent • Interviews with medical and mental health staff • Interview with PREA Coordinator

115.382	Access to emergency medical and mental health services
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>The PWJDC Standard Operating Procedure Chapter 300, Number 300 requires that all resident victims of sexual abuse receive timely, unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services. The nature and scope of the services are determined by medical and mental health practitioners. Medical and mental health staff maintain secondary materials documenting the timeliness of emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services that were provided; the appropriate response by non-health staff in the event health staff are not present at the time the incident is reported; and the provision of appropriate and timely information and services concerning contraception and sexually transmitted infection prophylaxis. Treatment services shall be provided to every resident victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident. Interviews with the PREA Coordinator as well as medical and mental health staff confirm their understanding and compliance with this standard.</p> <p>Evidence relied upon to make auditor determination:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prince William Juvenile Detention Center Standard Operating Procedure (PREA) • Prince William Juvenile Detention Center Pre-Audit Questionnaire • Interviews with Medical Staff • Interview with PREA Coordinator

115.383	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>The PWJDC Standard Operating Procedure Chapter 300 Number 300 addresses ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers. The procedure requires PWJDC to offer ongoing medical and mental health evaluation and, as appropriate, treatment to all residents who have been victimized by sexual abuse in any prison, jail, lockup, or juvenile facility. The evaluation and treatment of such victims include, as appropriate, follow-up services, treatment plans, and, when necessary, referrals for continued care following their transfer to, or placement in, other facilities, or their release from custody. The facility provides such victims with medical and mental health services consistent with the community level of care. PWJDC houses both male and female residents; female victims of sexual abusive vaginal penetration while incarcerated will be offered pregnancy tests. PWJDC has entered into a Cooperative Agreement with RSAVAS who will provide emergency medical and legal advocacy to victims of sexual assault. Resident victims of sexual abuse while incarcerated are offered tests for sexually transmitted infections as medically appropriate. Additionally, the facility will attempt to obtain a mental health evaluation within 60 days of learning of the abuse history of resident on resident sexual abusers. The facility offers treatment when deemed appropriate by a mental health practitioner to resident on resident sexual abusers. The Standard Operating Procedure and responses received during interviews with medical and mental health staff and the PREA Coordinator confirm a complete understanding of the practices that comply with this standard.</p> <p>Evidence relied upon to make auditor determination:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prince William Juvenile Detention Center Standard Operating Procedure (PREA) • Cooperative Agreement with SAVAS • Prince William Juvenile Detention Center Pre-Audit Questionnaire • Interview with Superintendent • Interviews with Medical and Mental Health Staff • Interview with PREA Coordinator

115.386	<p>Sexual abuse incident reviews</p> <p>Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard</p> <p>Auditor Discussion</p> <p>The PWJDC Standard Operating Procedure Chapter 300, Number 300 outlines compliance with this standard and provides information regarding the incident review team and its role. The procedure details the make-up of the sexual abuse incident review team. Policy also outlines the elements to be considered in their assessments of incidents. Further, policy dictates the facility conduct a sexual abuse incident review at the conclusion of every criminal or administrative sexual abuse investigation, unless the allegation has been determined to be unfounded. In the past 12 months there were two administrative investigations of alleged sexual abuse completed at the facility, excluding only unfounded incidents. Sexual abuse incident reviews are ordinarily conducted within 30 days of concluding the criminal or administrative investigation. In the past 12 months there were two administrative investigations of alleged sexual abuse completed at the facility that were followed by a sexual abuse incident review within 30 days, excluding only unfounded incidents. The sexual assault incident review team includes upper-level management and allows for input from supervisors, investigators and medical or mental health practitioners. The facility prepares a report of its findings from sexual abuse incident reviews, including but not necessarily limited to determinations made pursuant to paragraphs (d)(1) – (d)(5) of this section, and any recommendations for improvement and submits such report to the facility head and PREA Coordinator. The facility will document and implement the recommendations for improvement or document its reasons for not doing so. Interviews with staff who make up the facility incident review team, as well as the Superintendent revealed that they understand the purpose of the incident review team and the process by which incidents will be reviewed.</p> <p>Evidence relied upon to make auditor determination:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prince William Juvenile Detention Center Standard Operating Procedure (PREA) • Prince William Juvenile Detention Center Pre-Audit Questionnaire • Interviews with members of the Incident Review Team • Interview with PREA Coordinator
---------	--

115.387	Data collection
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>PWJDC Standard Operating Procedure details the agency’s efforts and protocol for compliance with this standard. The agency collects accurate, uniform data for every allegation of sexual abuse at facilities under its direct control using a standardized instrument and set of definitions. The standardized instrument includes, at a minimum, the data necessary to answer all questions from the most recent version of the Survey of Sexual Violence (SSV) conducted by the Department of Justice. PWJDC will aggregate the incident-based sexual abuse data at least annually. PWJDC will maintain, review, and collect data as needed from all available incident-based documents, including reports, investigation files, and sexual abuse incident reviews. The agency does not contract for the confinement of its residents. The Department of Justice has not requested data from the agency. The agency will provide the Department of Justice (DOJ) with data upon request.</p> <p>Evidence relied upon to make auditor determination:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prince William Juvenile Detention Center Standard Operating Procedure (PREA) • Interview with PREA Coordinator • Prince William Juvenile Detention Center Pre-Audit Questionnaire • Interview with Superintendent

115.388	Data review for corrective action
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>The PWJDC Standard Operating Procedure addresses this standard. PWJDC will review data collected and aggregated pursuant to §115.387 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of the sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, and training, including: identifying problem areas, taking corrective action on an on-going basis, and preparing an annual report of its findings from its data review and any corrective actions for the facility and agency as a whole. The report shall include a comparison of the current year's data and corrective actions with those from prior years and shall provide an assessment of PWJDC's progress in addressing sexual abuse. The report shall be approved by the Superintendent and made readily available to the public upon request. PWJDC reserves the right to redact specific material from the reports when publication would present a clear and specific threat to the safety and security of PWJDC, but in so doing will indicate the nature of the material redacted. A review of documentation and interviews with the Superintendent and PREA Coordinator confirms this practice.</p> <p>Evidence relied upon to make auditor determination:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prince William Juvenile Detention Center Standard Operating Procedure (PREA) • Prince William Juvenile Detention Center Pre-Audit Questionnaire • Interview with Superintendent • Interview with PREA Coordinator

115.389	Data storage, publication, and destruction
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>PWJDC Standard Operating Procedure requires that the agency ensures that incident-based and aggregate data are securely retained. Before making aggregated sexual abuse data publicly available, the agency removes all personal identifiers. The agency maintains sexual abuse data collected pursuant to §115.387 for at least 10 years after the date of initial collection unless Federal, state or local laws require otherwise. Interviews with the Superintendent and PREA Coordinator along with policy demonstrates compliance with this standard.</p> <p>Evidence relied upon to make auditor determination:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prince William Juvenile Detention Center Standard Operating Procedure (PREA) • Prince William Juvenile Detention Center Pre-Audit Questionnaire • Interview with Superintendent • Interview with PREA Coordinator

115.401	Frequency and scope of audits
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>During the three-year period starting on August 20, 2013, and during each three-year period thereafter, the agency ensured the facility was audited.</p> <p>During the onsite portion of the audit, the auditor was permitted to observe all areas of the facility. The auditor was provided copies of requested documents including electronic documents and videos if the agency had them.</p> <p>The auditor was permitted to conduct private interviews with residents and staff.</p> <p>Notices of the audit were posted six weeks ahead of the on-site portion and emails of photographs of the posted notices were sent to the auditor. Although no correspondence was received by the auditor, residents were provided the opportunity and means to send confidential information and correspondence to the auditor in the same manner as if they were communicating with legal counsel.</p> <p>Evidence relied upon to make auditor determination:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Interview with PREA Coordinator • Interview with Superintendent • Photographs of audit notices

115.403	Audit contents and findings
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>Prince William Juvenile Detention Center Standard Operating Procedure (PREA) requires the publication of the final audit report; which it makes publicly available.</p> <p>Evidence relied upon to make auditor determination:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prince William Juvenile Detention Center Operating Procedure (PREA) • Interview with PREA Coordinator • Interview with Superintendent

Appendix: Provision Findings

115.311 (a)	Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator	
	Does the agency have a written policy mandating zero tolerance toward all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the written policy outline the agency's approach to preventing, detecting, and responding to sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes

115.311 (b)	Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator	
	Has the agency employed or designated an agency-wide PREA Coordinator?	yes
	Is the PREA Coordinator position in the upper-level of the agency hierarchy?	yes
	Does the PREA Coordinator have sufficient time and authority to develop, implement, and oversee agency efforts to comply with the PREA standards in all of its facilities?	yes

115.311 (c)	Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator	
	If this agency operates more than one facility, has each facility designated a PREA compliance manager? (N/A if agency operates only one facility.)	na
	Does the PREA compliance manager have sufficient time and authority to coordinate the facility's efforts to comply with the PREA standards? (N/A if agency operates only one facility.)	na

115.312 (a)	Contracting with other entities for the confinement of residents	
	If this agency is public and it contracts for the confinement of its residents with private agencies or other entities including other government agencies, has the agency included the entity's obligation to adopt and comply with the PREA standards in any new contract or contract renewal signed on or after August 20, 2012? (N/A if the agency does not contract with private agencies or other entities for the confinement of residents.)	na

115.312 (b)	Contracting with other entities for the confinement of residents	
	Does any new contract or contract renewal signed on or after August 20, 2012 provide for agency contract monitoring to ensure that the contractor is complying with the PREA standards? (N/A if the agency does not contract with private agencies or other entities for the confinement of residents OR the response to 115.312(a)-1 is "NO".)	na

115.313 (a)	Supervision and monitoring	
	Does the agency ensure that each facility has developed a staffing plan that provides for adequate levels of staffing and, where applicable, video monitoring, to protect residents against sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that each facility has implemented a staffing plan that provides for adequate levels of staffing and, where applicable, video monitoring, to protect residents against sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that each facility has documented a staffing plan that provides for adequate levels of staffing and, where applicable, video monitoring, to protect residents against sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration the 11 criteria below in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring: The prevalence of substantiated and unsubstantiated incidents of sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration the 11 criteria below in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring: Generally accepted juvenile detention and correctional/secure residential practices?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration the 11 criteria below in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring: Any judicial findings of inadequacy?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration the 11 criteria below in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring: Any findings of inadequacy from Federal investigative agencies?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration the 11 criteria below in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring: Any findings of inadequacy from internal or external oversight bodies?	yes

	Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration the 11 criteria below in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring: All components of the facility's physical plant (including "blind-spots" or areas where staff or residents may be isolated)?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration the 11 criteria below in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring: The composition of the resident population?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration the 11 criteria below in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring: The number and placement of supervisory staff?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration the 11 criteria below in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring: Institution programs occurring on a particular shift?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration the 11 criteria below in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring: Any applicable State or local laws, regulations, or standards?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration the 11 criteria below in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring: Any other relevant factors?	yes

115.313 (b)	Supervision and monitoring	
	Does the agency comply with the staffing plan except during limited and discrete exigent circumstances?	yes
	In circumstances where the staffing plan is not complied with, does the facility fully document all deviations from the plan? (N/A if no deviations from staffing plan.)	na

115.313 (c)	Supervision and monitoring	
	Does the facility maintain staff ratios of a minimum of 1:8 during resident waking hours, except during limited and discrete exigent circumstances? (N/A only until October 1, 2017.)	yes
	Does the facility maintain staff ratios of a minimum of 1:16 during resident sleeping hours, except during limited and discrete exigent circumstances? (N/A only until October 1, 2017.)	yes
	Does the facility fully document any limited and discrete exigent circumstances during which the facility did not maintain staff ratios? (N/A only until October 1, 2017.)	yes
	Does the facility ensure only security staff are included when calculating these ratios? (N/A only until October 1, 2017.)	yes
	Is the facility obligated by law, regulation, or judicial consent decree to maintain the staffing ratios set forth in this paragraph?	yes

115.313 (d)	Supervision and monitoring	
	In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The staffing plan established pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section?	yes
	In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: Prevailing staffing patterns?	yes
	In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The facility's deployment of video monitoring systems and other monitoring technologies?	yes
	In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The resources the facility has available to commit to ensure adherence to the staffing plan?	yes

115.313 (e)	Supervision and monitoring	
	Has the facility implemented a policy and practice of having intermediate-level or higher-level supervisors conduct and document unannounced rounds to identify and deter staff sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A for non-secure facilities)	yes
	Is this policy and practice implemented for night shifts as well as day shifts? (N/A for non-secure facilities)	yes
	Does the facility have a policy prohibiting staff from alerting other staff members that these supervisory rounds are occurring, unless such announcement is related to the legitimate operational functions of the facility? (N/A for non-secure facilities)	yes

115.315 (a)	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches	
	Does the facility always refrain from conducting any cross-gender strip or cross-gender visual body cavity searches, except in exigent circumstances or by medical practitioners?	yes

115.315 (b)	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches	
	Does the facility always refrain from conducting cross-gender pat-down searches in non-exigent circumstances?	yes

115.315 (c)	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches	
	Does the facility document and justify all cross-gender strip searches and cross-gender visual body cavity searches?	yes
	Does the facility document all cross-gender pat-down searches?	yes

115.315 (d)	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches	
	Does the facility implement policies and procedures that enable residents to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without nonmedical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks?	yes
	Does the facility require staff of the opposite gender to announce their presence when entering a resident housing unit?	yes
	In facilities (such as group homes) that do not contain discrete housing units, does the facility require staff of the opposite gender to announce their presence when entering an area where residents are likely to be showering, performing bodily functions, or changing clothing? (N/A for facilities with discrete housing units)	yes

115.315 (e)	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches	
	Does the facility always refrain from searching or physically examining transgender or intersex residents for the sole purpose of determining the resident's genital status?	yes
	If a resident's genital status is unknown, does the facility determine genital status during conversations with the resident, by reviewing medical records, or, if necessary, by learning that information as part of a broader medical examination conducted in private by a medical practitioner?	yes

115.315 (f)	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches	
	Does the facility/agency train security staff in how to conduct cross-gender pat down searches in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs?	yes
	Does the facility/agency train security staff in how to conduct searches of transgender and intersex residents in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs?	yes

115.316 (a)	Residents with disabilities and residents who are limited English proficient	
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all	yes

	aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Residents who are deaf or hard of hearing?	
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Residents who are blind or have low vision?	yes
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Residents who have intellectual disabilities?	yes
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Residents who have psychiatric disabilities?	yes
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Residents who have speech disabilities?	yes
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Other? (if "other," please explain in overall determination notes.)	yes
	Do such steps include, when necessary, ensuring effective communication with residents who are deaf or hard of hearing?	yes
	Do such steps include, when necessary, providing access to interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with residents with disabilities including residents who: Have intellectual disabilities?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with residents with disabilities including residents who: Have limited reading skills?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or	yes

	through methods that ensure effective communication with residents with disabilities including residents who: Who are blind or have low vision?	
--	---	--

115.316 (b)	Residents with disabilities and residents who are limited English proficient	
	Does the agency take reasonable steps to ensure meaningful access to all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment to residents who are limited English proficient?	yes
	Do these steps include providing interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary?	yes

115.316 (c)	Residents with disabilities and residents who are limited English proficient	
	Does the agency always refrain from relying on resident interpreters, resident readers, or other types of resident assistants except in limited circumstances where an extended delay in obtaining an effective interpreter could compromise the resident's safety, the performance of first-response duties under §115.364, or the investigation of the resident's allegations?	yes

115.317 (a)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with residents who: Has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)?	yes
	Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with residents who: Has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse?	yes
	Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with residents who: Has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the bullet immediately above?	yes
	Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with residents who: Has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)?	yes
	Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with residents who: Has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse?	yes
	Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with residents who: Has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the two bullets immediately above?	yes

115.317 (b)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Does the agency consider any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to hire or promote anyone, or to enlist the services of any contractor, who may have contact with residents?	yes

115.317 (c)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Before hiring new employees who may have contact with residents, does the agency: Perform a criminal background records check?	yes
	Before hiring new employees who may have contact with residents, does the agency: Consult any child abuse registry maintained by the State or locality in which the employee would work?	yes
	Before hiring new employees who may have contact with residents, does the agency: Consistent with Federal, State, and local law, make its best efforts to contact all prior institutional employers for information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or any resignation during a pending investigation of an allegation of sexual abuse?	yes

115.317 (d)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Does the agency perform a criminal background records check before enlisting the services of any contractor who may have contact with residents?	yes
	Does the agency consult applicable child abuse registries before enlisting the services of any contractor who may have contact with residents?	yes

115.317 (e)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Does the agency either conduct criminal background records checks at least every five years of current employees and contractors who may have contact with residents or have in place a system for otherwise capturing such information for current employees?	yes

115.317 (f)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Does the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with residents directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in written applications or interviews for hiring or promotions?	yes
	Does the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with residents directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in any interviews or written self-evaluations conducted as part of reviews of current employees?	yes
	Does the agency impose upon employees a continuing affirmative duty to disclose any such misconduct?	yes

115.317 (g)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Does the agency consider material omissions regarding such misconduct, or the provision of materially false information, grounds for termination?	yes

115.317 (h)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Unless prohibited by law, does the agency provide information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee upon receiving a request from an institutional employer for whom such employee has applied to work? (N/A if providing information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee is prohibited by law.)	yes

115.318 (a)	Upgrades to facilities and technologies	
	If the agency designed or acquired any new facility or planned any substantial expansion or modification of existing facilities, did the agency consider the effect of the design, acquisition, expansion, or modification upon the agency's ability to protect residents from sexual abuse? (N/A if agency/facility has not acquired a new facility or made a substantial expansion to existing facilities since August 20, 2012, or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later.)	na

115.318 (b)	Upgrades to facilities and technologies	
	If the agency installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology, did the agency consider how such technology may enhance the agency's ability to protect residents from sexual abuse? (N/A if agency/facility has not installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology since August 20, 2012, or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later.)	yes

115.321 (a)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	If the agency is responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, does the agency follow a uniform evidence protocol that maximizes the potential for obtaining usable physical evidence for administrative proceedings and criminal prosecutions? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.)	yes

115.321 (b)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	Is this protocol developmentally appropriate for youth? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.)	yes
	Is this protocol, as appropriate, adapted from or otherwise based on the most recent edition of the U.S. Department of Justice's Office on Violence Against Women publication, "A National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examinations, Adults/Adolescents," or similarly comprehensive and authoritative protocols developed after 2011? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.)	yes

115.321 (c)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	Does the agency offer all residents who experience sexual abuse access to forensic medical examinations, whether on-site or at an outside facility, without financial cost, where evidentiarily or medically appropriate?	yes
	Are such examinations performed by Sexual Assault Forensic Examiners (SAFEs) or Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners (SANEs) where possible?	yes
	If SAFEs or SANEs cannot be made available, is the examination performed by other qualified medical practitioners (they must have been specifically trained to conduct sexual assault forensic exams)?	yes
	Has the agency documented its efforts to provide SAFEs or SANEs?	yes

115.321 (d)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	Does the agency attempt to make available to the victim a victim advocate from a rape crisis center?	yes
	If a rape crisis center is not available to provide victim advocate services, does the agency make available to provide these services a qualified staff member from a community-based organization, or a qualified agency staff member?	yes
	Has the agency documented its efforts to secure services from rape crisis centers?	yes

115.321 (e)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	As requested by the victim, does the victim advocate, qualified agency staff member, or qualified community-based organization staff member accompany and support the victim through the forensic medical examination process and investigatory interviews?	yes
	As requested by the victim, does this person provide emotional support, crisis intervention, information, and referrals?	yes

115.321 (f)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	If the agency itself is not responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, has the agency requested that the investigating entity follow the requirements of paragraphs (a) through (e) of this section? (N/A if the agency is not responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse.)	yes

115.321 (h)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	If the agency uses a qualified agency staff member or a qualified community-based staff member for the purposes of this section, has the individual been screened for appropriateness to serve in this role and received education concerning sexual assault and forensic examination issues in general? (Check N/A if agency attempts to make a victim advocate from a rape crisis center available to victims per 115.321 (d) above.)	na

115.322 (a)	Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations	
	Does the agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual harassment?	yes

115.322 (b)	Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations	
	Does the agency have a policy in place to ensure that allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are referred for investigation to an agency with the legal authority to conduct criminal investigations, unless the allegation does not involve potentially criminal behavior?	yes
	Has the agency published such policy on its website or, if it does not have one, made the policy available through other means?	yes
	Does the agency document all such referrals?	yes

115.322 (c)	Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations	
	<p>If a separate entity is responsible for conducting criminal investigations, does such publication describe the responsibilities of both the agency and the investigating entity? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for criminal investigations. See 115.321(a))</p>	yes

115.331 (a)	Employee training	
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: Its zero-tolerance policy for sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: How to fulfill their responsibilities under agency sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, reporting, and response policies and procedures?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: Residents' right to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: The right of residents and employees to be free from retaliation for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: The dynamics of sexual abuse and sexual harassment in juvenile facilities?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: The common reactions of juvenile victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: How to detect and respond to signs of threatened and actual sexual abuse and how to distinguish between consensual sexual contact and sexual abuse between residents?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: How to avoid inappropriate relationships with residents?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: How to communicate effectively and professionally with residents, including lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming residents?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: How to comply with relevant laws related to mandatory reporting of sexual abuse to outside authorities?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: Relevant laws regarding the applicable age of consent?	yes

115.331 (b)	Employee training	
	Is such training tailored to the unique needs and attributes of residents of juvenile facilities?	yes
	Is such training tailored to the gender of the residents at the employee's facility?	yes
	Have employees received additional training if reassigned from a facility that houses only male residents to a facility that houses only female residents, or vice versa?	yes

115.331 (c)	Employee training	
	Have all current employees who may have contact with residents received such training?	yes
	Does the agency provide each employee with refresher training every two years to ensure that all employees know the agency's current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies and procedures?	yes
	In years in which an employee does not receive refresher training, does the agency provide refresher information on current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies?	yes

115.331 (d)	Employee training	
	Does the agency document, through employee signature or electronic verification, that employees understand the training they have received?	yes

115.332 (a)	Volunteer and contractor training	
	Has the agency ensured that all volunteers and contractors who have contact with residents have been trained on their responsibilities under the agency's sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, and response policies and procedures?	yes

115.332 (b)	Volunteer and contractor training	
	Have all volunteers and contractors who have contact with residents been notified of the agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment and informed how to report such incidents (the level and type of training provided to volunteers and contractors shall be based on the services they provide and level of contact they have with residents)?	yes

115.332 (c)	Volunteer and contractor training	
	Does the agency maintain documentation confirming that volunteers and contractors understand the training they have received?	yes

115.333 (a)	Resident education	
	During intake, do residents receive information explaining the agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	During intake, do residents receive information explaining how to report incidents or suspicions of sexual abuse or sexual harassment?	yes
	Is this information presented in an age-appropriate fashion?	yes

115.333 (b)	Resident education	
	Within 10 days of intake, does the agency provide age-appropriate comprehensive education to residents either in person or through video regarding: Their rights to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Within 10 days of intake, does the agency provide age-appropriate comprehensive education to residents either in person or through video regarding: Their rights to be free from retaliation for reporting such incidents?	yes
	Within 10 days of intake, does the agency provide age-appropriate comprehensive education to residents either in person or through video regarding: Agency policies and procedures for responding to such incidents?	yes

115.333 (c)	Resident education	
	Have all residents received such education?	yes
	Do residents receive education upon transfer to a different facility to the extent that the policies and procedures of the resident's new facility differ from those of the previous facility?	yes

115.333 (d)	Resident education	
	Does the agency provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents including those who: Are limited English proficient?	yes
	Does the agency provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents including those who: Are deaf?	yes
	Does the agency provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents including those who: Are visually impaired?	yes
	Does the agency provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents including those who: Are otherwise disabled?	yes
	Does the agency provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents including those who: Have limited reading skills?	yes

115.333 (e)	Resident education	
	Does the agency maintain documentation of resident participation in these education sessions?	yes

115.333 (f)	Resident education	
	In addition to providing such education, does the agency ensure that key information is continuously and readily available or visible to residents through posters, resident handbooks, or other written formats?	yes

115.334 (a)	Specialized training: Investigations	
	In addition to the general training provided to all employees pursuant to §115.331, does the agency ensure that, to the extent the agency itself conducts sexual abuse investigations, its investigators have received training in conducting such investigations in confinement settings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.321(a).)	yes

115.334 (b)	Specialized training: Investigations	
	Does this specialized training include: Techniques for interviewing juvenile sexual abuse victims? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.321(a).)	yes
	Does this specialized training include: Proper use of Miranda and Garrity warnings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.321(a).)	yes
	Does this specialized training include: Sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.321(a).)	yes
	Does this specialized training include: The criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action or prosecution referral? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.321(a).)	yes

115.334 (c)	Specialized training: Investigations	
	Does the agency maintain documentation that agency investigators have completed the required specialized training in conducting sexual abuse investigations? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.321(a).)	yes

115.335 (a)	Specialized training: Medical and mental health care	
	Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in: How to detect and assess signs of sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in: How to preserve physical evidence of sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in: How to respond effectively and professionally to juvenile victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in: How and to whom to report allegations or suspicions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes

115.335 (b)	Specialized training: Medical and mental health care	
	If medical staff employed by the agency conduct forensic examinations, do such medical staff receive appropriate training to conduct such examinations? (N/A if agency medical staff at the facility do not conduct forensic exams.)	yes

115.335 (c)	Specialized training: Medical and mental health care	
	Does the agency maintain documentation that medical and mental health practitioners have received the training referenced in this standard either from the agency or elsewhere?	yes

115.335 (d)	Specialized training: Medical and mental health care	
	Do medical and mental health care practitioners employed by the agency also receive training mandated for employees by §115.331?	yes
	Do medical and mental health care practitioners contracted by and volunteering for the agency also receive training mandated for contractors and volunteers by §115.332?	yes

115.341 (a)	Obtaining information from residents	
	Within 72 hours of the resident's arrival at the facility, does the agency obtain and use information about each resident's personal history and behavior to reduce risk of sexual abuse by or upon a resident?	yes
	Does the agency also obtain this information periodically throughout a resident's confinement?	yes

115.341 (b)	Obtaining information from residents	
	Are all PREA screening assessments conducted using an objective screening instrument?	yes

115.341 (c)	Obtaining information from residents	
	During these PREA screening assessments, at a minimum, does the agency attempt to ascertain information about: Prior sexual victimization or abusiveness?	yes
	During these PREA screening assessments, at a minimum, does the agency attempt to ascertain information about: Any gender nonconforming appearance or manner or identification as lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex, and whether the resident may therefore be vulnerable to sexual abuse?	yes
	During these PREA screening assessments, at a minimum, does the agency attempt to ascertain information about: Current charges and offense history?	yes
	During these PREA screening assessments, at a minimum, does the agency attempt to ascertain information about: Age?	yes
	During these PREA screening assessments, at a minimum, does the agency attempt to ascertain information about: Level of emotional and cognitive development?	yes
	During these PREA screening assessments, at a minimum, does the agency attempt to ascertain information about: Physical size and stature?	yes
	During these PREA screening assessments, at a minimum, does the agency attempt to ascertain information about: Mental illness or mental disabilities?	yes
	During these PREA screening assessments, at a minimum, does the agency attempt to ascertain information about: Intellectual or developmental disabilities?	yes
	During these PREA screening assessments, at a minimum, does the agency attempt to ascertain information about: Physical disabilities?	yes
	During these PREA screening assessments, at a minimum, does the agency attempt to ascertain information about: The resident's own perception of vulnerability?	yes
	During these PREA screening assessments, at a minimum, does the agency attempt to ascertain information about: Any other specific information about individual residents that may indicate heightened needs for supervision, additional safety precautions, or separation from certain other residents?	yes

115.341 (d)	Obtaining information from residents	
	Is this information ascertained: Through conversations with the resident during the intake process and medical mental health screenings?	yes
	Is this information ascertained: During classification assessments?	yes
	Is this information ascertained: By reviewing court records, case files, facility behavioral records, and other relevant documentation from the resident's files?	yes

115.341 (e)	Obtaining information from residents	
	Has the agency implemented appropriate controls on the dissemination within the facility of responses to questions asked pursuant to this standard in order to ensure that sensitive information is not exploited to the resident's detriment by staff or other residents?	yes

115.342 (a)	Placement of residents	
	Does the agency use all of the information obtained pursuant to § 115.341 and subsequently, with the goal of keeping all residents safe and free from sexual abuse, to make: Housing Assignments?	yes
	Does the agency use all of the information obtained pursuant to § 115.341 and subsequently, with the goal of keeping all residents safe and free from sexual abuse, to make: Bed assignments?	yes
	Does the agency use all of the information obtained pursuant to § 115.341 and subsequently, with the goal of keeping all residents safe and free from sexual abuse, to make: Work Assignments?	yes
	Does the agency use all of the information obtained pursuant to § 115.341 and subsequently, with the goal of keeping all residents safe and free from sexual abuse, to make: Education Assignments?	yes
	Does the agency use all of the information obtained pursuant to § 115.341 and subsequently, with the goal of keeping all residents safe and free from sexual abuse, to make: Program Assignments?	yes

115.342 (b)	Placement of residents	
	Are residents isolated from others only as a last resort when less restrictive measures are inadequate to keep them and other residents safe, and then only until an alternative means of keeping all residents safe can be arranged?	yes
	During any period of isolation, does the agency always refrain from denying residents daily large-muscle exercise?	yes
	During any period of isolation, does the agency always refrain from denying residents any legally required educational programming or special education services?	yes
	Do residents in isolation receive daily visits from a medical or mental health care clinician?	yes
	Do residents also have access to other programs and work opportunities to the extent possible?	yes

115.342 (c)	Placement of residents	
	Does the agency always refrain from placing: Lesbian, gay, and bisexual residents in particular housing, bed, or other assignments solely on the basis of such identification or status?	yes
	Does the agency always refrain from placing: Transgender residents in particular housing, bed, or other assignments solely on the basis of such identification or status?	yes
	Does the agency always refrain from placing: Intersex residents in particular housing, bed, or other assignments solely on the basis of such identification or status?	yes
	Does the agency always refrain from considering lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex identification or status as an indicator or likelihood of being sexually abusive?	yes

115.342 (d)	Placement of residents	
	When deciding whether to assign a transgender or intersex resident to a facility for male or female residents, does the agency consider on a case-by-case basis whether a placement would ensure the resident's health and safety, and whether a placement would present management or security problems (NOTE: if an agency by policy or practice assigns residents to a male or female facility on the basis of anatomy alone, that agency is not in compliance with this standard)?	yes
	When making housing or other program assignments for transgender or intersex residents, does the agency consider on a case-by-case basis whether a placement would ensure the resident's health and safety, and whether a placement would present management or security problems?	yes

115.342 (e)	Placement of residents	
	Are placement and programming assignments for each transgender or intersex resident reassessed at least twice each year to review any threats to safety experienced by the resident?	yes

115.342 (f)	Placement of residents	
	Are each transgender or intersex resident's own views with respect to his or her own safety given serious consideration when making facility and housing placement decisions and programming assignments?	yes

115.342 (g)	Placement of residents	
	Are transgender and intersex residents given the opportunity to shower separately from other residents?	yes

115.342 (h)	Placement of residents	
	If a resident is isolated pursuant to paragraph (b) of this section, does the facility clearly document: The basis for the facility's concern for the resident's safety? (N/A for h and i if facility doesn't use isolation?)	yes
	If a resident is isolated pursuant to paragraph (b) of this section, does the facility clearly document: The reason why no alternative means of separation can be arranged? (N/A for h and i if facility doesn't use isolation?)	yes

115.342 (i)	Placement of residents	
	In the case of each resident who is isolated as a last resort when less restrictive measures are inadequate to keep them and other residents safe, does the facility afford a review to determine whether there is a continuing need for separation from the general population EVERY 30 DAYS?	yes

115.351 (a)	Resident reporting	
	Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for residents to privately report: Sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for residents to privately report: 2. Retaliation by other residents or staff for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for residents to privately report: Staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to such incidents?	yes

115.351 (b)	Resident reporting	
	Does the agency also provide at least one way for residents to report sexual abuse or sexual harassment to a public or private entity or office that is not part of the agency?	yes
	Is that private entity or office able to receive and immediately forward resident reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment to agency officials?	yes
	Does that private entity or office allow the resident to remain anonymous upon request?	yes
	Are residents detained solely for civil immigration purposes provided information on how to contact relevant consular officials and relevant officials at the Department of Homeland Security to report sexual abuse or harassment?	yes

115.351 (c)	Resident reporting	
	Do staff members accept reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment made verbally, in writing, anonymously, and from third parties?	yes
	Do staff members promptly document any verbal reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes

115.351 (d)	Resident reporting	
	Does the facility provide residents with access to tools necessary to make a written report?	yes

115.351 (e)	Resident reporting	
	Does the agency provide a method for staff to privately report sexual abuse and sexual harassment of residents?	yes

115.352 (a)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	Is the agency exempt from this standard? NOTE: The agency is exempt ONLY if it does not have administrative procedures to address resident grievances regarding sexual abuse. This does not mean the agency is exempt simply because a resident does not have to or is not ordinarily expected to submit a grievance to report sexual abuse. This means that as a matter of explicit policy, the agency does not have an administrative remedies process to address sexual abuse.	no

115.352 (b)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	Does the agency permit residents to submit a grievance regarding an allegation of sexual abuse without any type of time limits? (The agency may apply otherwise-applicable time limits to any portion of a grievance that does not allege an incident of sexual abuse.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	Does the agency always refrain from requiring an resident to use any informal grievance process, or to otherwise attempt to resolve with staff, an alleged incident of sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes

115.352 (c)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	Does the agency ensure that: A resident who alleges sexual abuse may submit a grievance without submitting it to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	Does the agency ensure that: Such grievance is not referred to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes

115.352 (d)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	Does the agency issue a final agency decision on the merits of any portion of a grievance alleging sexual abuse within 90 days of the initial filing of the grievance? (Computation of the 90-day time period does not include time consumed by residents in preparing any administrative appeal.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	If the agency determines that the 90 day timeframe is insufficient to make an appropriate decision and claims an extension of time (the maximum allowable extension of time to respond is 70 days per 115.352(d)(3)) , does the agency notify the resident in writing of any such extension and provide a date by which a decision will be made? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	At any level of the administrative process, including the final level, if the resident does not receive a response within the time allotted for reply, including any properly noticed extension, may a resident consider the absence of a response to be a denial at that level? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes

115.352 (e)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	Are third parties, including fellow residents, staff members, family members, attorneys, and outside advocates, permitted to assist residents in filing requests for administrative remedies relating to allegations of sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	Are those third parties also permitted to file such requests on behalf of residents? (If a third party, other than a parent or legal guardian, files such a request on behalf of a resident, the facility may require as a condition of processing the request that the alleged victim agree to have the request filed on his or her behalf, and may also require the alleged victim to personally pursue any subsequent steps in the administrative remedy process.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	If the resident declines to have the request processed on his or her behalf, does the agency document the resident's decision? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	Is a parent or legal guardian of a juvenile allowed to file a grievance regarding allegations of sexual abuse, including appeals, on behalf of such juvenile? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	If a parent or legal guardian of a juvenile files a grievance (or an appeal) on behalf of a juvenile regarding allegations of sexual abuse, is it the case that those grievances are not conditioned upon the juvenile agreeing to have the request filed on his or her behalf? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes

115.352 (f)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	Has the agency established procedures for the filing of an emergency grievance alleging that a resident is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	After receiving an emergency grievance alleging a resident is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, does the agency immediately forward the grievance (or any portion thereof that alleges the substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse) to a level of review at which immediate corrective action may be taken? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	After receiving an emergency grievance described above, does the agency provide an initial response within 48 hours? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	After receiving an emergency grievance described above, does the agency issue a final agency decision within 5 calendar days? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	Does the initial response and final agency decision document the agency's determination whether the resident is in substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	Does the initial response document the agency's action(s) taken in response to the emergency grievance? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	Does the agency's final decision document the agency's action(s) taken in response to the emergency grievance? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes

115.352 (g)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	If the agency disciplines a resident for filing a grievance related to alleged sexual abuse, does it do so ONLY where the agency demonstrates that the resident filed the grievance in bad faith? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes

115.353 (a)	Resident access to outside confidential support services and legal representation	
	Does the facility provide residents with access to outside victim advocates for emotional support services related to sexual abuse by providing, posting, or otherwise making accessible mailing addresses and telephone numbers, including toll-free hotline numbers where available, of local, State, or national victim advocacy or rape crisis organizations?	yes
	Does the facility provide persons detained solely for civil immigration purposes mailing addresses and telephone numbers, including toll-free hotline numbers where available of local, State, or national immigrant services agencies?	yes
	Does the facility enable reasonable communication between residents and these organizations and agencies, in as confidential a manner as possible?	yes

115.353 (b)	Resident access to outside confidential support services and legal representation	
	Does the facility inform residents, prior to giving them access, of the extent to which such communications will be monitored and the extent to which reports of abuse will be forwarded to authorities in accordance with mandatory reporting laws?	yes

115.353 (c)	Resident access to outside confidential support services and legal representation	
	Does the agency maintain or attempt to enter into memoranda of understanding or other agreements with community service providers that are able to provide residents with confidential emotional support services related to sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the agency maintain copies of agreements or documentation showing attempts to enter into such agreements?	yes

115.353 (d)	Resident access to outside confidential support services and legal representation	
	Does the facility provide residents with reasonable and confidential access to their attorneys or other legal representation?	yes
	Does the facility provide residents with reasonable access to parents or legal guardians?	yes

115.354 (a)	Third-party reporting	
	Has the agency established a method to receive third-party reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Has the agency distributed publicly information on how to report sexual abuse and sexual harassment on behalf of a resident?	yes

115.361 (a)	Staff and agency reporting duties	
	Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information they receive regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment that occurred in a facility, whether or not it is part of the agency?	yes
	Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information they receive regarding retaliation against residents or staff who reported an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information they receive regarding any staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment or retaliation?	yes

115.361 (b)	Staff and agency reporting duties	
	Does the agency require all staff to comply with any applicable mandatory child abuse reporting laws?	yes

115.361 (c)	Staff and agency reporting duties	
	Apart from reporting to designated supervisors or officials and designated State or local services agencies, are staff prohibited from revealing any information related to a sexual abuse report to anyone other than to the extent necessary, as specified in agency policy, to make treatment, investigation, and other security and management decisions?	yes

115.361 (d)	Staff and agency reporting duties	
	Are medical and mental health practitioners required to report sexual abuse to designated supervisors and officials pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section as well as to the designated State or local services agency where required by mandatory reporting laws?	yes
	Are medical and mental health practitioners required to inform residents of their duty to report, and the limitations of confidentiality, at the initiation of services?	yes

115.361 (e)	Staff and agency reporting duties	
	Upon receiving any allegation of sexual abuse, does the facility head or his or her designee promptly report the allegation to the appropriate office?	yes
	Upon receiving any allegation of sexual abuse, does the facility head or his or her designee promptly report the allegation to the alleged victim's parents or legal guardians unless the facility has official documentation showing the parents or legal guardians should not be notified?	yes
	If the alleged victim is under the guardianship of the child welfare system, does the facility head or his or her designee promptly report the allegation to the alleged victim's caseworker instead of the parents or legal guardians? (N/A if the alleged victim is not under the guardianship of the child welfare system.)	yes
	If a juvenile court retains jurisdiction over the alleged victim, does the facility head or designee also report the allegation to the juvenile's attorney or other legal representative of record within 14 days of receiving the allegation?	yes

115.361 (f)	Staff and agency reporting duties	
	Does the facility report all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including third-party and anonymous reports, to the facility's designated investigators?	yes

115.362 (a)	Agency protection duties	
	When the agency learns that a resident is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, does it take immediate action to protect the resident?	yes

115.363 (a)	Reporting to other confinement facilities	
	Upon receiving an allegation that a resident was sexually abused while confined at another facility, does the head of the facility that received the allegation notify the head of the facility or appropriate office of the agency where the alleged abuse occurred?	yes
	Does the head of the facility that received the allegation also notify the appropriate investigative agency?	yes

115.363 (b)	Reporting to other confinement facilities	
	Is such notification provided as soon as possible, but no later than 72 hours after receiving the allegation?	yes

115.363 (c)	Reporting to other confinement facilities	
	Does the agency document that it has provided such notification?	yes

115.363 (d)	Reporting to other confinement facilities	
	Does the facility head or agency office that receives such notification ensure that the allegation is investigated in accordance with these standards?	yes

115.364 (a)	Staff first responder duties	
	Upon learning of an allegation that a resident was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Separate the alleged victim and abuser?	yes
	Upon learning of an allegation that a resident was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Preserve and protect any crime scene until appropriate steps can be taken to collect any evidence?	yes
	Upon learning of an allegation that a resident was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence?	yes
	Upon learning of an allegation that a resident was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Ensure that the alleged abuser does not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence?	yes

115.364 (b)	Staff first responder duties	
	If the first staff responder is not a security staff member, is the responder required to request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, and then notify security staff?	yes

115.365 (a)	Coordinated response	
	Has the facility developed a written institutional plan to coordinate actions among staff first responders, medical and mental health practitioners, investigators, and facility leadership taken in response to an incident of sexual abuse?	yes

115.366 (a)	Preservation of ability to protect residents from contact with abusers	
	Are both the agency and any other governmental entities responsible for collective bargaining on the agency's behalf prohibited from entering into or renewing any collective bargaining agreement or other agreement that limits the agency's ability to remove alleged staff sexual abusers from contact with any residents pending the outcome of an investigation or of a determination of whether and to what extent discipline is warranted?	no

115.367 (a)	Agency protection against retaliation	
	Has the agency established a policy to protect all residents and staff who report sexual abuse or sexual harassment or cooperate with sexual abuse or sexual harassment investigations from retaliation by other residents or staff?	yes
	Has the agency designated which staff members or departments are charged with monitoring retaliation?	yes

115.367 (b)	Agency protection against retaliation	
	Does the agency employ multiple protection measures for residents or staff who fear retaliation for reporting sexual abuse or sexual harassment or for cooperating with investigations, such as housing changes or transfers for resident victims or abusers, removal of alleged staff or resident abusers from contact with victims, and emotional support services?	yes

115.367 (c)	Agency protection against retaliation	
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor the conduct and treatment of residents or staff who reported the sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by residents or staff?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor the conduct and treatment of residents who were reported to have suffered sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by residents or staff?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Act promptly to remedy any such retaliation?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor: Any resident disciplinary reports?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor: Resident housing changes?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor: Resident program changes?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor: Negative performance reviews of staff?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor: Reassignments of staff?	yes
	Does the agency continue such monitoring beyond 90 days if the initial monitoring indicates a continuing need?	yes

115.367 (d)	Agency protection against retaliation	
	In the case of residents, does such monitoring also include periodic status checks?	yes

115.367 (e)	Agency protection against retaliation	
	If any other individual who cooperates with an investigation expresses a fear of retaliation, does the agency take appropriate measures to protect that individual against retaliation?	yes

115.368 (a)	Post-allegation protective custody	
	Is any and all use of segregated housing to protect a resident who is alleged to have suffered sexual abuse subject to the requirements of § 115.342?	yes

115.371 (a)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	When the agency conducts its own investigations into allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, does it do so promptly, thoroughly, and objectively? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal investigations of sexual abuse or harassment. See 115.321(a).)	yes
	Does the agency conduct such investigations for all allegations, including third party and anonymous reports? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal investigations of sexual abuse or harassment. See 115.321(a).)	yes

115.371 (b)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Where sexual abuse is alleged, does the agency use investigators who have received specialized training in sexual abuse investigations involving juvenile victims as required by 115.334?	yes

115.371 (c)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Do investigators gather and preserve direct and circumstantial evidence, including any available physical and DNA evidence and any available electronic monitoring data?	yes
	Do investigators interview alleged victims, suspected perpetrators, and witnesses?	yes
	Do investigators review prior reports and complaints of sexual abuse involving the suspected perpetrator?	yes

115.371 (d)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Does the agency always refrain from terminating an investigation solely because the source of the allegation recants the allegation?	yes

115.371 (e)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	When the quality of evidence appears to support criminal prosecution, does the agency conduct compelled interviews only after consulting with prosecutors as to whether compelled interviews may be an obstacle for subsequent criminal prosecution?	yes

115.371 (f)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Do agency investigators assess the credibility of an alleged victim, suspect, or witness on an individual basis and not on the basis of that individual's status as resident or staff?	yes
	Does the agency investigate allegations of sexual abuse without requiring a resident who alleges sexual abuse to submit to a polygraph examination or other truth-telling device as a condition for proceeding?	yes

115.371 (g)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Do administrative investigations include an effort to determine whether staff actions or failures to act contributed to the abuse?	yes
	Are administrative investigations documented in written reports that include a description of the physical evidence and testimonial evidence, the reasoning behind credibility assessments, and investigative facts and findings?	yes

115.371 (h)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Are criminal investigations documented in a written report that contains a thorough description of the physical, testimonial, and documentary evidence and attaches copies of all documentary evidence where feasible?	yes

115.371 (i)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Are all substantiated allegations of conduct that appears to be criminal referred for prosecution?	yes

115.371 (j)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Does the agency retain all written reports referenced in 115.371(g) and (h) for as long as the alleged abuser is incarcerated or employed by the agency, plus five years unless the abuse was committed by a juvenile resident and applicable law requires a shorter period of retention?	yes

115.371 (k)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Does the agency ensure that the departure of an alleged abuser or victim from the employment or control of the facility or agency does not provide a basis for terminating an investigation?	yes

115.371 (m)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	When an outside entity investigates sexual abuse, does the facility cooperate with outside investigators and endeavor to remain informed about the progress of the investigation? (N/A if an outside agency does not conduct administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.321(a).)	yes

115.372 (a)	Evidentiary standard for administrative investigations	
	Is it true that the agency does not impose a standard higher than a preponderance of the evidence in determining whether allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are substantiated?	yes

115.373 (a)	Reporting to residents	
	Following an investigation into a resident's allegation of sexual abuse suffered in the facility, does the agency inform the resident as to whether the allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded?	yes

115.373 (b)	Reporting to residents	
	If the agency did not conduct the investigation into a resident's allegation of sexual abuse in an agency facility, does the agency request the relevant information from the investigative agency in order to inform the resident? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting administrative and criminal investigations.)	yes

115.373 (c)	Reporting to residents	
	Following a resident's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The staff member is no longer posted within the resident's unit?	yes
	Following a resident's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The staff member is no longer employed at the facility?	yes
	Following a resident's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse in the facility?	yes
	Following a resident's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility?	yes

115.373 (d)	Reporting to residents	
	Following a resident's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another resident, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility?	yes
	Following a resident's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another resident, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility?	yes

115.373 (e)	Reporting to residents	
	Does the agency document all such notifications or attempted notifications?	yes

115.376 (a)	Disciplinary sanctions for staff	
	Are staff subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination for violating agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies?	yes

115.376 (b)	Disciplinary sanctions for staff	
	Is termination the presumptive disciplinary sanction for staff who have engaged in sexual abuse?	yes

115.376 (c)	Disciplinary sanctions for staff	
	Are disciplinary sanctions for violations of agency policies relating to sexual abuse or sexual harassment (other than actually engaging in sexual abuse) commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the acts committed, the staff member's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other staff with similar histories?	yes

115.376 (d)	Disciplinary sanctions for staff	
	Are all terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, reported to: Law enforcement agencies, unless the activity was clearly not criminal?	yes
	Are all terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, reported to: Relevant licensing bodies?	yes

115.377 (a)	Corrective action for contractors and volunteers	
	Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse prohibited from contact with residents?	yes
	Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse reported to: Law enforcement agencies (unless the activity was clearly not criminal)?	yes
	Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse reported to: Relevant licensing bodies?	yes

115.377 (b)	Corrective action for contractors and volunteers	
	In the case of any other violation of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies by a contractor or volunteer, does the facility take appropriate remedial measures, and consider whether to prohibit further contact with residents?	yes

115.378 (a)	Interventions and disciplinary sanctions for residents	
	Following an administrative finding that a resident engaged in resident-on-resident sexual abuse, or following a criminal finding of guilt for resident-on-resident sexual abuse, may residents be subject to disciplinary sanctions only pursuant to a formal disciplinary process?	yes

115.378 (b)	Interventions and disciplinary sanctions for residents	
	Are disciplinary sanctions commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the abuse committed, the resident's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other residents with similar histories?	yes
	In the event a disciplinary sanction results in the isolation of a resident, does the agency ensure the resident is not denied daily large-muscle exercise?	yes
	In the event a disciplinary sanction results in the isolation of a resident, does the agency ensure the resident is not denied access to any legally required educational programming or special education services?	yes
	In the event a disciplinary sanction results in the isolation of a resident, does the agency ensure the resident receives daily visits from a medical or mental health care clinician?	yes
	In the event a disciplinary sanction results in the isolation of a resident, does the resident also have access to other programs and work opportunities to the extent possible?	yes

115.378 (c)	Interventions and disciplinary sanctions for residents	
	When determining what types of sanction, if any, should be imposed, does the disciplinary process consider whether a resident's mental disabilities or mental illness contributed to his or her behavior?	yes

115.378 (d)	Interventions and disciplinary sanctions for residents	
	If the facility offers therapy, counseling, or other interventions designed to address and correct underlying reasons or motivations for the abuse, does the facility consider whether to offer the offending resident participation in such interventions?	yes
	If the agency requires participation in such interventions as a condition of access to any rewards-based behavior management system or other behavior-based incentives, does it always refrain from requiring such participation as a condition to accessing general programming or education?	yes

115.378 (e)	Interventions and disciplinary sanctions for residents	
	Does the agency discipline a resident for sexual contact with staff only upon a finding that the staff member did not consent to such contact?	yes

115.378 (f)	Interventions and disciplinary sanctions for residents	
	For the purpose of disciplinary action, does a report of sexual abuse made in good faith based upon a reasonable belief that the alleged conduct occurred NOT constitute falsely reporting an incident or lying, even if an investigation does not establish evidence sufficient to substantiate the allegation?	yes

115.378 (g)	Interventions and disciplinary sanctions for residents	
	Does the agency always refrain from considering non-coercive sexual activity between residents to be sexual abuse? (N/A if the agency does not prohibit all sexual activity between residents.)	yes

115.381 (a)	Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse	
	If the screening pursuant to § 115.341 indicates that a resident has experienced prior sexual victimization, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure that the resident is offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening?	yes

115.381 (b)	Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse	
	If the screening pursuant to § 115.341 indicates that a resident has previously perpetrated sexual abuse, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure that the resident is offered a follow-up meeting with a mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening?	yes

115.381 (c)	Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse	
	Is any information related to sexual victimization or abusiveness that occurred in an institutional setting strictly limited to medical and mental health practitioners and other staff as necessary to inform treatment plans and security management decisions, including housing, bed, work, education, and program assignments, or as otherwise required by Federal, State, or local law?	yes

115.381 (d)	Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse	
	Do medical and mental health practitioners obtain informed consent from residents before reporting information about prior sexual victimization that did not occur in an institutional setting, unless the resident is under the age of 18?	yes

115.382 (a)	Access to emergency medical and mental health services	
	Do resident victims of sexual abuse receive timely, unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services, the nature and scope of which are determined by medical and mental health practitioners according to their professional judgment?	yes

115.382 (b)	Access to emergency medical and mental health services	
	If no qualified medical or mental health practitioners are on duty at the time a report of recent sexual abuse is made, do staff first responders take preliminary steps to protect the victim pursuant to § 115.362?	yes
	Do staff first responders immediately notify the appropriate medical and mental health practitioners?	yes

115.382 (c)	Access to emergency medical and mental health services	
	Are resident victims of sexual abuse offered timely information about and timely access to emergency contraception and sexually transmitted infections prophylaxis, in accordance with professionally accepted standards of care, where medically appropriate?	yes

115.382 (d)	Access to emergency medical and mental health services	
	Are treatment services provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident?	yes

115.383 (a)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	Does the facility offer medical and mental health evaluation and, as appropriate, treatment to all residents who have been victimized by sexual abuse in any prison, jail, lockup, or juvenile facility?	yes

115.383 (b)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	Does the evaluation and treatment of such victims include, as appropriate, follow-up services, treatment plans, and, when necessary, referrals for continued care following their transfer to, or placement in, other facilities, or their release from custody?	yes

115.383 (c)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	Does the facility provide such victims with medical and mental health services consistent with the community level of care?	yes

115.383 (d)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	Are resident victims of sexually abusive vaginal penetration while incarcerated offered pregnancy tests? (N/A if all-male facility.)	yes

115.383 (e)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	If pregnancy results from the conduct described in paragraph § 115.383(d), do such victims receive timely and comprehensive information about and timely access to all lawful pregnancy-related medical services? (N/A if all-male facility.)	yes

115.383 (f)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	Are resident victims of sexual abuse while incarcerated offered tests for sexually transmitted infections as medically appropriate?	yes

115.383 (g)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	Are treatment services provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident?	yes

115.383 (h)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	Does the facility attempt to conduct a mental health evaluation of all known resident-on-resident abusers within 60 days of learning of such abuse history and offer treatment when deemed appropriate by mental health practitioners?	yes

115.386 (a)	Sexual abuse incident reviews	
	Does the facility conduct a sexual abuse incident review at the conclusion of every sexual abuse investigation, including where the allegation has not been substantiated, unless the allegation has been determined to be unfounded?	yes

115.386 (b)	Sexual abuse incident reviews	
	Does such review ordinarily occur within 30 days of the conclusion of the investigation?	yes

115.386 (c)	Sexual abuse incident reviews	
	Does the review team include upper-level management officials, with input from line supervisors, investigators, and medical or mental health practitioners?	yes

115.386 (d)	Sexual abuse incident reviews	
	Does the review team: Consider whether the allegation or investigation indicates a need to change policy or practice to better prevent, detect, or respond to sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the review team: Consider whether the incident or allegation was motivated by race; ethnicity; gender identity; lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex identification, status, or perceived status; gang affiliation; or other group dynamics at the facility?	yes
	Does the review team: Examine the area in the facility where the incident allegedly occurred to assess whether physical barriers in the area may enable abuse?	yes
	Does the review team: Assess the adequacy of staffing levels in that area during different shifts?	yes
	Does the review team: Assess whether monitoring technology should be deployed or augmented to supplement supervision by staff?	yes
	Does the review team: Prepare a report of its findings, including but not necessarily limited to determinations made pursuant to §§ 115.386(d) (1)-(d)(5), and any recommendations for improvement and submit such report to the facility head and PREA compliance manager?	yes

115.386 (e)	Sexual abuse incident reviews	
	Does the facility implement the recommendations for improvement, or document its reasons for not doing so?	yes

115.387 (a)	Data collection	
	Does the agency collect accurate, uniform data for every allegation of sexual abuse at facilities under its direct control using a standardized instrument and set of definitions?	yes

115.387 (b)	Data collection	
	Does the agency aggregate the incident-based sexual abuse data at least annually?	yes

115.387 (c)	Data collection	
	Does the incident-based data include, at a minimum, the data necessary to answer all questions from the most recent version of the Survey of Sexual Violence conducted by the Department of Justice?	yes

115.387 (d)	Data collection	
	Does the agency maintain, review, and collect data as needed from all available incident-based documents, including reports, investigation files, and sexual abuse incident reviews?	yes

115.387 (e)	Data collection	
	Does the agency also obtain incident-based and aggregated data from every private facility with which it contracts for the confinement of its residents? (N/A if agency does not contract for the confinement of its residents.)	na

115.387 (f)	Data collection	
	Does the agency, upon request, provide all such data from the previous calendar year to the Department of Justice no later than June 30? (N/A if DOJ has not requested agency data.)	na

115.388 (a)	Data review for corrective action	
	Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.387 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Identifying problem areas?	yes
	Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.387 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Taking corrective action on an ongoing basis?	yes
	Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.387 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Preparing an annual report of its findings and corrective actions for each facility, as well as the agency as a whole?	yes

115.388 (b)	Data review for corrective action	
	Does the agency's annual report include a comparison of the current year's data and corrective actions with those from prior years and provide an assessment of the agency's progress in addressing sexual abuse?	yes

115.388 (c)	Data review for corrective action	
	Is the agency's annual report approved by the agency head and made readily available to the public through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means?	yes

115.388 (d)	Data review for corrective action	
	Does the agency indicate the nature of the material redacted where it redacts specific material from the reports when publication would present a clear and specific threat to the safety and security of a facility?	yes

115.389 (a)	Data storage, publication, and destruction	
	Does the agency ensure that data collected pursuant to § 115.387 are securely retained?	yes

115.389 (b)	Data storage, publication, and destruction	
	Does the agency make all aggregated sexual abuse data, from facilities under its direct control and private facilities with which it contracts, readily available to the public at least annually through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means?	yes

115.389 (c)	Data storage, publication, and destruction	
	Does the agency remove all personal identifiers before making aggregated sexual abuse data publicly available?	yes

115.389 (d)	Data storage, publication, and destruction	
	Does the agency maintain sexual abuse data collected pursuant to § 115.387 for at least 10 years after the date of the initial collection, unless Federal, State, or local law requires otherwise?	yes

115.401 (a)	Frequency and scope of audits	
	During the prior three-year audit period, did the agency ensure that each facility operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited at least once? (Note: The response here is purely informational. A "no" response does not impact overall compliance with this standard.)	yes

115.401 (b)	Frequency and scope of audits	
	Is this the first year of the current audit cycle? (Note: a “no” response does not impact overall compliance with this standard.)	no
	If this is the second year of the current audit cycle, did the agency ensure that at least one-third of each facility type operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited during the first year of the current audit cycle? (N/A if this is not the second year of the current audit cycle.)	na
	If this is the third year of the current audit cycle, did the agency ensure that at least two-thirds of each facility type operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, were audited during the first two years of the current audit cycle? (N/A if this is not the third year of the current audit cycle.)	no

115.401 (h)	Frequency and scope of audits	
	Did the auditor have access to, and the ability to observe, all areas of the audited facility?	yes

115.401 (i)	Frequency and scope of audits	
	Was the auditor permitted to request and receive copies of any relevant documents (including electronically stored information)?	yes

115.401 (m)	Frequency and scope of audits	
	Was the auditor permitted to conduct private interviews with inmates, residents, and detainees?	yes

115.401 (n)	Frequency and scope of audits	
	Were inmates, residents, and detainees permitted to send confidential information or correspondence to the auditor in the same manner as if they were communicating with legal counsel?	yes

115.403 (f)	Audit contents and findings	
	<p>The agency has published on its agency website, if it has one, or has otherwise made publicly available, all Final Audit Reports within 90 days of issuance by auditor. The review period is for prior audits completed during the past three years PRECEDING THIS AGENCY AUDIT. In the case of single facility agencies, the auditor shall ensure that the facility's last audit report was published. The pendency of any agency appeal pursuant to 28 C.F.R. § 115.405 does not excuse noncompliance with this provision. (N/A only if there have been no Final Audit Reports issued in the past three years, or in the case of single facility agencies that there has never been a Final Audit Report issued.)</p>	yes