



RATING ACTION COMMENTARY

Fitch Rates Prince William County VA Public School Auth \$43MM Bonds 'AAA'; Outlook Stable

Thu 06 Oct, 2022 - 11:17 AM ET

Fitch Ratings - New York - 06 Oct 2022: Fitch Ratings has assigned a 'AAA' rating to the \$43 million Virginia Public School Authority (VPSA) special obligation school financing bonds, Prince William County series 2022, to be issued by the VPSA on behalf of Prince William County, VA (county).

Proceeds of the series 2022 VPSA special obligation bonds will be used to fund various capital school improvement projects for the county. The bonds are expected to sell competitively on Oct. 25.

In addition, Fitch has affirmed the following county ratings:

--Approximately \$600.9 million outstanding county VPSA special obligation bonds at 'AAA';

--Approximately \$141.3 million outstanding county GO bonds at 'AAA';

--Approximately \$13.6 million outstanding county refunding certificates of participation (COPs), series 2013 at 'AA+';

--Approximately \$16.3 million outstanding county Industrial Development Authority (IDA) (Courts Facilities Project) facilities revenue and refunding bonds series 2016A at 'AA+';

--Approximately \$46.1 million outstanding IDA facilities revenue and refunding bonds, series 2020A, 'AA+'.

--Issuer Default Rating (IDR) at 'AAA'.

The Rating Outlook is Stable.

SECURITY

The VPSA bonds are limited and special obligations of the VPSA, payable solely from payments of principal and interest to be made by the county on its local school bonds. The local school bonds are GOs of the county, for which the county has pledged its full faith and credit for payment and unlimited taxing authority. The VPSA has assigned its rights to receive all payments of principal and interest on the local school bonds to the State Treasurer acting as paying agent for the series 2022 bonds and all such payments of principal and interest on the local school bonds shall be made directly to the State Treasurer.

The outstanding IDA revenue bonds and the county's series 2013 COPs are backed by lease or basic payments equal to debt service and subject to annual appropriation by the county board.

ANALYTICAL CONCLUSION

The 'AAA' rating on the VPSA special obligation bonds reflects the county's 'AAA' IDR and GO rating, which is underpinned by the county's superior gap-closing capacity and budget flexibility, and its unlimited taxing authority. Fitch expects the county to maintain a high level of financial flexibility given its solid operating performance, healthy available fund balance, and moderate liability burden.

The 'AA+' rating on the appropriation-backed debt is one-notch below the IDR reflecting the slightly higher degree of optionality associated with appropriation payments.

Economic Resource Base

The county is located in northern Virginia, less than 25 miles southwest of Washington, D.C. The county's population reached an estimated U.S. census population of 482,204 in 2021, up 20.5% since 2010.

KEY RATING DRIVERS

Revenue Framework: 'aaa'

The county derives the bulk of its revenues from property taxes. General fund revenue growth is expected to exceed inflation over time based on expectations for continued population growth and tax base expansion from new development and property appreciation. The county has strong control over revenues given its independent legal ability to increase property taxes without limitation.

Expenditure Framework: 'aa'

Fitch expects the natural pace of spending growth to be marginally above natural revenue growth. Moderate carrying costs and broad flexibility to manage labor-related costs afford the county solid flexibility to adjust spending.

Long-Term Liability Burden: 'aa'

The combined burden of debt and Fitch-adjusted net pension liabilities represents about 10% of personal income, at the low end of the moderate range. Fitch expects the liability burden to remain in this range as the county continues to issue debt annually to meet school and other county capital needs.

Operating Performance: 'aaa'

The county's superior budget flexibility and ample unrestricted general fund balance (equal to 15% of spending or higher dating back more than a decade) allows it to manage through economic downturns without diminishing its overall financial flexibility.

RATING SENSITIVITIES

Factors that could, individually or collectively, lead to positive rating action/upgrade:

--Not applicable given the 'AAA' IDR and GO rating.

Factors that could, individually or collectively, lead to negative rating action/downgrade:

--Slower than anticipated revenue growth sustained at a level below the rate of inflation;

--A rapid acceleration in natural pace of spending without a corresponding increase in revenue growth;

--A sustained and material erosion of the county's gap-closing capacity, resulting in a deterioration of its overall financial resilience.

BEST/WORST CASE RATING SCENARIO

International scale credit ratings of Sovereigns, Public Finance and Infrastructure issuers have a best-case rating upgrade scenario (defined as the 99th percentile of rating transitions, measured in a positive direction) of three notches over a three-year rating horizon; and a worst-case rating downgrade scenario (defined as the 99th percentile of rating transitions, measured in a negative direction) of three notches over three years. The complete span of best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings for all rating categories ranges from 'AAA' to 'D'. Best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings are based on historical performance. For more information about the methodology used to determine sector-specific best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings, visit <https://www.fitchratings.com/site/re/10111579>.

CURRENT DEVELOPMENTS

Prince William County ended fiscal 2021 with a net-operating surplus of \$34.8 million, about 2.7% of general fund spending, increasing the county's unrestricted general fund balance to \$255 million or nearly 20% of expenditures. The county saw positive budget variances primarily in property tax and sales tax revenues, in addition to experiencing expenditure savings.

The fiscal 2022 general fund budget of \$1.4 billion was about 5% over the fiscal 2021 budget. Preliminary results show a net-operating surplus primarily due to personal property tax, sales tax, and business, professional and occupational license (BPOL) tax revenues exceeding budget expectations. The county's new cigarette tax became effective January 2022, generating \$2.1 million in new revenue.

The county's \$1.5 billion adopted fiscal 2023 general fund budget is about 8.7% over the fiscal 2022 budget. The budget reduces the property tax rate to \$1.03 per \$100 of assessed value from \$1.115 and includes a \$59 million, or a 9% increase in school transfers out of the general fund, in accordance with the county's revenue sharing agreement (57% schools/43% county). A full year of cigarette tax revenues is budgeted at \$3 million, less than 1% of revenues. The county's recently adopted 4% meals tax, went into effect July 2022 and is budgeted to generate \$24.5 million in new revenue in fiscal 2023. The cigarette

tax and meals tax revenues will be shared with Prince William County Schools, following the aforementioned revenue sharing agreement. The budget includes a use of fund balance of \$5.5 million for one-time purposes, less than 1% of spending. Fitch expects the county will continue to exercise strong budget management practices through current and future economic cycles and maintain reserves sufficient to retain its high gap-closing capacity.

The county received about \$82.1 million in CARES Act funding and \$91.4 million in ARPA funding. These amounts do not include separate allocations made to the schools. As of June 2022, the county has spent all of its CARES Act funds on various coronavirus-related costs, including premium pay for essential workers. Most of its ARPA funding has been allocated with only \$8.6 million left to be assigned.

CREDIT PROFILE

The county is situated within the Washington, D.C. metropolitan area. Its relative affordability compared to neighboring counties and well-educated workforce support favorable economic and demographic growth trends. Its stable economic base, rooted in government and military employment, has expanded to encompass the targeted industries of life sciences, information technology and federal government agencies and contractors. The number of data centers in the county continues to increase in and outside of Innovation Park.

The presence of the Marine Corp Base Quantico, along with the addition of the FBI Northern VA satellite office, help to attract contractors and federal agencies, but also exposes the county to changes in federal defense spending, which has been subject to volatility. The U.S. Department of Defense is the county's third-largest employer; overall, the federal government currently represents just 5% of the resident employment base.

Revenue Framework

The county primarily relies on property tax revenues, totaling 71% of general fund revenues in fiscal 2021. The next largest category was intergovernmental revenues at 13% from state and federal sources primarily for schools and other social services.

The county's 10-year general fund revenue CAGR, adjusted for real property tax rate changes, registered 4.8% in fiscal 2021. Fitch expects general fund revenue growth to moderate somewhat but trend above inflation over time given ongoing economic development as shown in solid growth in construction permits, continued home value appreciation, and a solid pipeline for new data centers. The county's taxable assessed value

(TAV) increased by 9% to about \$83 billion in fiscal 2022, primarily due to the county's property reassessment, following 8% growth in fiscal 2021.

The Commonwealth of Virginia does not limit the property tax rate or levy, affording the county significant independent revenue-raising authority to help counteract cyclical budgetary stresses. The county's fiscal 2023 tax rate was reduced to \$1.03 per \$100 of AV from \$1.115.

Expenditure Framework

The county's largest expenditure category is education, at 43% of fiscal 2021 general fund expenditures, followed by public safety at 23%. Virginia public schools are largely funded by a mix of state and local aid contributions. The amount of local contribution is determined by the county's board, and based on the state-determined performance standards for the school system, known as the required local effort (RLE). A revenue sharing agreement between the county and the component unit school district diverts 57% of general fund revenue to fund the district's budget. Importantly, the county board has the ability to adjust the agreement, if needed, supporting a solid level of overall expenditure flexibility.

Fitch expects the natural pace of spending growth to be marginally above natural revenue growth, given the county's full control over employee wages and benefits, as well as its manageable debt service and retiree benefit costs.

The county's fixed carrying cost burden is moderate, with costs for debt, pensions, and other post-employment benefits (OPEB) equaling 12% of fiscal 2021 total governmental expenditures. Debt service accounts for the majority of costs at about 8.6% and reflects an amortization rate of debt at approximately 70% of debt retired in 10 years, including this issuance. Expenditure flexibility also benefits from the state's workforce environment that provides broad legal ability to manage labor-related costs.

Long-Term Liability Burden

The county's long-term liability burden, including this issuance and the county's and component unit schools' Fitch-adjusted net pension liability, is 10.5% of residents' personal income. The county's five-year capital improvement plan from fiscal 2023-2028 of \$1.2 billion (excluding school capital plans) is approximately 28.7% debt funded. Fitch expects the liability burden to remain moderate over the long term even with the issuance of this debt.

The county participates in the state-administered VRS for regular full-time employees as does the component unit school district for teachers and non-professional employees. The county also maintains a small supplemental defined benefit retirement plan for police officers, uniformed fire and rescue personnel, uniformed adult detention center staff and sheriff employees and a volunteer fire and rescue personnel length of service award program (LOSAP). On a combined basis, the net pension liability totals about 5% of personal income, largely due to the net pension liability for the teachers' plan. The combined ratio of net assets to liabilities is currently about 69% using Fitch's standard 6% investment return assumption.

The county contributes to five OPEB plans and has an unfunded OPEB liability of less than 1% of personal income. The county established an OPEB trust fund for the county and schools with assets totaling \$124.3 million at the end of fiscal 2021. The county consistently funds pensions and OPEB at the aggregate actuarially determined contribution (ADC) level.

Operating Performance

The county has a superior level of inherent budget flexibility, supported by an unlimited independent revenue raising capacity and solid spending flexibility to manage through economic cycles. The county maintains a capital reserve (minimum 2% of capital projects), a revenue stabilization reserve (2% of general fund revenues), and \$3 million in its economic development opportunity fund reserve as part of the committed fund balance. Additional reserves are held outside of the general fund that can be utilized with board approval, providing additional flexibility. Fitch expects the county to continue to maintain a high level of financial flexibility through the current uncertainty, with reserves remaining above the county's minimum unassigned general fund balance policy of 7.5% of general fund revenues.

The county has demonstrated its ability to reduce spending through cost control mechanisms in prior recessions by deferring most non-school capex, reducing the overall operating budget, implementing a temporary freeze on compensation increases and making a limited number of reductions-in-force, among other measures. Fitch expects the county to make similar operational changes as needed during future economic downturns and continue to update its long-term comprehensive plans and detailed forecasting reports to maintain its strong financial resilience.

In addition to the sources of information identified in Fitch's applicable criteria specified below, this action was informed by information from Lumesis.

REFERENCES FOR SUBSTANTIALLY MATERIAL SOURCE CITED AS KEY DRIVER OF RATING

The principal sources of information used in the analysis are described in the Applicable Criteria.

ESG CONSIDERATIONS

Unless otherwise disclosed in this section, the highest level of ESG credit relevance is a score of '3'. This means ESG issues are credit-neutral or have only a minimal credit impact on the entity, either due to their nature or the way in which they are being managed by the entity. For more information on Fitch's ESG Relevance Scores, visit www.fitchratings.com/esg.

RATING ACTIONS

ENTITY / DEBT ⇅	RATING ⇅			PRIOR ⇅
Prince William County (VA) [General Government]	LT IDR	AAA Rating Outlook Stable		AAA Rating Outlook Stable
	Affirmed			
Prince William County (VA) /Appropriation Supported/1 LT	LT	AA+ Rating Outlook Stable	Affirmed	AA+ Rating Outlook Stable
Prince William County (VA) /General Obligation - Unlimited Tax/1 LT	LT	AAA Rating Outlook Stable		AAA Rating Outlook Stable
	Affirmed			
Prince William County (VA) /Lease Obligations - Standard/1 LT	LT	AA+ Rating Outlook Stable	Affirmed	AA+ Rating Outlook Stable

[VIEW ADDITIONAL RATING DETAILS](#)

FITCH RATINGS ANALYSTS**Lindsay Horne**

Senior Analyst

Primary Rating Analyst

+1 646 582 4462

lindsay.horne@fitchratings.com

Fitch Ratings, Inc.

Hearst Tower 300 W. 57th Street New York, NY 10019

Evette Caze

Director

Secondary Rating Analyst

+1 212 908 0376

evette.caze@fitchratings.com

Karen Ribble

Senior Director

Committee Chairperson

+1 415 732 5611

karen.ribbon@fitchratings.com

MEDIA CONTACTS**Sandro Scenga**

New York

+1 212 908 0278

sandro.scenga@thefitchgroup.com

Additional information is available on www.fitchratings.com**PARTICIPATION STATUS**

The rated entity (and/or its agents) or, in the case of structured finance, one or more of the transaction parties participated in the rating process except that the following issuer(s), if any, did not participate in the rating process, or provide additional information, beyond the issuer's available public disclosure.

APPLICABLE CRITERIA

U.S. Public Finance Tax-Supported Rating Criteria (pub. 04 May 2021) (including rating assumption sensitivity)

APPLICABLE MODELS

Numbers in parentheses accompanying applicable model(s) contain hyperlinks to criteria providing description of model(s).

FAST Econometric API - Fitch Analytical Stress Test Model, v3.0.0 ([1](#))

ADDITIONAL DISCLOSURES

[Dodd-Frank Rating Information Disclosure Form](#)

[Solicitation Status](#)

[Endorsement Policy](#)

ENDORSEMENT STATUS

Prince William County Industrial Development Authority

EU Endorsed, UK Endorsed

DISCLAIMER & DISCLOSURES

All Fitch Ratings (Fitch) credit ratings are subject to certain limitations and disclaimers.

Please read these limitations and disclaimers by following this link:

<https://www.fitchratings.com/understandingcreditratings>. In addition, the following <https://www.fitchratings.com/rating-definitions-document> details Fitch's rating definitions for each rating scale and rating categories, including definitions relating to default. ESMA and the FCA are required to publish historical default rates in a central repository in accordance with Articles 11(2) of Regulation (EC) No 1060/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 September 2009 and The Credit Rating Agencies (Amendment etc.) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019 respectively.

Published ratings, criteria, and methodologies are available from this site at all times. Fitch's code of conduct, confidentiality, conflicts of interest, affiliate firewall, compliance, and other relevant policies and procedures are also available from the Code of Conduct section of this site. Directors and shareholders' relevant interests are available at <https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory>. Fitch may have provided another permissible or ancillary service to the rated entity or its related third parties. Details of permissible or ancillary service(s) for which the lead analyst is based in an ESMA- or FCA-registered Fitch

Ratings company (or branch of such a company) can be found on the entity summary page for this issuer on the Fitch Ratings website.

In issuing and maintaining its ratings and in making other reports (including forecast information), Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the third-party verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third-party verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings and reports should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information Fitch relies on in connection with a rating or a report will be accurate and complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and other reports. In issuing its ratings and its reports, Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings and forecasts of financial and other information are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and predictions about future events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings and forecasts can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating or forecast was issued or affirmed.

The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or warranty of any kind, and Fitch does not represent or warrant that the report or any of its contents will meet any of the requirements of a recipient of the report. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion and reports made by Fitch are based on established criteria and methodologies that Fitch is continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings and reports are the collective work product of Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating or a report. The rating does not

address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at any time for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$10,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to use its name as an expert in connection with any registration statement filed under the United States securities laws, the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 of the United Kingdom, or the securities laws of any particular jurisdiction. Due to the relative efficiency of electronic publishing and distribution, Fitch research may be available to electronic subscribers up to three days earlier than to print subscribers.

For Australia, New Zealand, Taiwan and South Korea only: Fitch Australia Pty Ltd holds an Australian financial services license (AFS license no. 337123) which authorizes it to provide credit ratings to wholesale clients only. Credit ratings information published by Fitch is not intended to be used by persons who are retail clients within the meaning of the Corporations Act 2001.

Fitch Ratings, Inc. is registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission as a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization (the "NRSRO"). While certain of the NRSRO's credit rating subsidiaries are listed on Item 3 of Form NRSRO and as such are authorized to issue credit ratings on behalf of the NRSRO (see <https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory>), other credit rating subsidiaries are not listed on Form NRSRO (the "non-NRSROs") and therefore credit ratings issued by those subsidiaries are not issued on behalf of the NRSRO. However, non-NRSRO personnel may participate in determining credit ratings issued by or on behalf of the NRSRO.

Copyright © 2022 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Fax: (212) 480-4435. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved.

[READ LESS](#)

SOLICITATION STATUS

The ratings above were solicited and assigned or maintained by Fitch at the request of the rated entity/issuer or a related third party. Any exceptions follow below.

ENDORSEMENT POLICY

Fitch's international credit ratings produced outside the EU or the UK, as the case may be, are endorsed for use by regulated entities within the EU or the UK, respectively, for regulatory purposes, pursuant to the terms of the EU CRA Regulation or the UK Credit Rating Agencies (Amendment etc.) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019, as the case may be. Fitch's approach to endorsement in the EU and the UK can be found on Fitch's [Regulatory Affairs](#) page on Fitch's website. The endorsement status of international credit ratings is provided within the entity summary page for each rated entity and in the transaction detail pages for structured finance transactions on the Fitch website. These disclosures are updated on a daily basis.

US Public Finance Infrastructure and Project Finance North America United States
