
## **Functional Areas**


The County agency pages are organized by the four functional areas of the County government: Government Operations, Performance & Innovation, Health, Wellbeing & Environmental Sustainability, Mobility, Economic Growth & Resiliency, and Safe & Secure Community.

**A.** Functional Area Expenditure Budget Pie Chart – Each section begins with a pie chart showing the FY25 proposed expenditure budget broken out by the agency and a list of all the agencies included in the functional area.



## **Agency Pages**

- **A.** Mission Statement The mission statement is a brief description of the purpose and functions of the agency.
- **B.** Expenditure Budget within Functional Area The agency's FY25 proposed expenditure budget is shown in relation to other agencies within the functional area.
- **C.** Mandates Describe the activities in an agency that are governed by requirements from the federal, state, and local mandates with the relevant code or ordinance information referencing the source.



# **Agency Page Information**

- **D.** Expenditure and Revenue Summary The expenditure and revenue summaries provide historical and adopted expenditure and revenue information for each agency. For historical reference, actual expenditures and revenues are reported for FY21, FY22, and FY23. Adopted budget information is displayed for FY24. The last column calculates the change between the FY24 adopted and FY25 proposed budgets. Five types of information are summarized for each fiscal year displayed:
  - **1. Expenditure by Program** These figures represent the amounts appropriated or expended for each program within the agency; the total equals the total expenditure by classification.
  - **2.** Expenditure by Classification These figures represent the amounts appropriated or expended in each expenditure classification; the total equals the total expenditure by program.
  - 3. Funding Sources (revenues) Includes all sources of agency revenue that support the expenditures.
  - 4. Net General Tax Support (in dollars) The operating subsidy received by the agency; this amount is calculated by subtracting total designated funding sources (revenues) from total expenditures for each fiscal year.
  - 5. Net General Tax Support (as a %) The percentage of the expenditure budget that is supported by the general fund; this percentage is calculated by dividing the net general tax support by the total expenditures for each fiscal year.

Expenditure by Program	FY21 Actuals	FY22 Actuals	FY23 Actuals	FY24 Adopted	FY25 Proposed	% Chai Budget FY Budget F
Office of the Chief	\$7,127,250	\$7,850,051	\$10,036,624	\$8,486,444	\$8,381,603	(1.2
Support Services	\$19,606,020	\$21,560,059	\$29,257,339	\$26,546,367	\$28,696,620	8.1
Operations	\$59,222,521	\$60,228,275	\$60,081,690	\$68,558,503	\$75,164,890	9.6
Criminal Investigations Financial & Technical Services	\$21,335,376 \$10,369,682	\$17,708,860 \$9,212,599	\$20,340,580 \$11,674,200	\$22,818,706 \$12,432,326	\$26,775,120 \$13,897,674	17.3 11.7
Total Expenditures	\$117,660,848	\$116,559,845	\$131,390,433	\$138,842,347	\$152,915,906	10.1
2 Expenditure by Classification		,				
Salaries & Benefits	\$95,253,695	\$93,661,874	\$105,905,122	\$117,447,149	\$126,516,726	7.7
Contractual Services Internal Services	\$1,923,721 \$13,367,724	\$2,281,112 \$13,763,808	\$2,685,545 \$14,304,237	\$1,876,009 \$11,512,839	\$2,951,623 \$12,005,867	57.3 4.2
Purchase of Goods & Services	\$5,139,467	\$5,051,964	\$6,079,057	\$5,861,555	\$7,879,237	4.2
Capital Outlay	\$971,817	\$421,308	\$1,066,765	\$767,026	\$1,299,000	69.3
Leases & Rentals	\$504,425	\$485,750	\$455,679	\$559,290	\$544,974	(2.56
Reserves & Contingencies	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$75,550)	\$824,450	(1,191.26
Transfers Out	\$500,000	\$894,029	\$894,029	\$894,029	\$894,029	0.00
Total Expenditures	\$117,660,848	\$116,559,845	\$131,390,433	\$138,842,347	\$152,915,906	10.14
Fines & Forfeitures Use of Money & Property Revenue from Other Localities Miscellaneous Revenue Non-Revenue Receipts Charges for Services Revenue from Commonwealth Total Designated Funding Sources	\$502,613 \$9,113 \$4,303 \$248,614 \$0 \$454,559 \$10,947,779 \$13,020,876	\$729,532 \$7,535 \$2,221 \$179,752 \$1,960 \$525,100 \$10,473,483 \$12,978,682	\$739,526 \$4,044 \$9,737 \$167,633 \$715 \$663,768 \$11,620,006 <b>\$14,158,870</b>	\$797,259 \$6,000 \$50,000 \$206,200 \$0 \$717,286 \$10,355,840 \$12,448,525	\$797,259 \$6,000 \$206,200 \$0 \$717,286 \$11,355,840 \$13,448,525	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 9.66 <b>8.03</b>
Net General Tax Support	\$104,639,972	\$103,581,163	\$117,231,563	\$126,393,822	\$139,467,381	10.34
Net General Tax Support	88.93%	88.87%	89.22%	91.03%	91.21%	

## **Agency Page Information**

- **E.** Staff History by Program Chart and table showing the staffing history and the total authorized full-time and part-time positions for FY21 actual, FY22 actual, FY23 actual, FY24 adopted, and FY25 proposed summarized by the program. Values are expressed in FTEs (full-time equivalents). One FTE is equal to one full-time position.
- F. Future Outlook Information on current and future issues or circumstances that impact an agency's service delivery.
- G. General Overview Narrative discussion summarizing major FY25 proposed budget changes for the agency.



Other key issues for the Police Department include:

#### - General Overview

A. Removal of One-time Costs – A total of \$13,897 has been removed from the Police Department's Proposed FY2025 Budget for one-time equipment and supplies expenses that were added to support the Veterinary Services Clinic in FY24.

Proposed FY2025 Budget

the Police Department.

331

Safe & Secure Community

## **Agency Page Information**

- **H.** Budget Initiatives Budget adjustments for each program are grouped into three categories, including budget initiatives (additions, reductions, or shifts).
- **I. Program Summary** Information on the programs that are managed by each agency and include the following details:
  - 1. Program Description Description of the activities the program performs or services that will be delivered.
  - Key Measures Shows important performance measures that demonstrate the productivity and effectiveness of the program. Measures are generally outcome measures, which are specific objectives to be accomplished by the program.
  - **3.** Program Activities with Expenditure Dollars List of activities that roll up into the program including the expenditure dollars, expressed in thousands, for FY21 actual, FY22 actual, FY23 actual, FY24 adopted, and FY25 proposed.
  - **4.** Workload Measures Performance measures, specifically workload measures, which demonstrate an aspect of work performed within the activity.

# Budget Initiatives A. Budget Initiatives 1. Animal Services Center Operating Expenses - Support Services Expenditure \$215,000 Revenue \$0 General Fund Impact \$215,000 FTE Positions 0.00

a. Description – This initiative provides ongoing support for food, cleaning supplies, personal protective equipment, animal bedding, uniforms, and footwear due to the increase in animal intake and veterinary services associated with the new Animal Services Center.

b. Service Level Impacts - Existing service levels are maintained.

#### Program Summary

#### **Office of the Chief**

The Office of the Chief of Police provides overall leadership and management oversight for the Department to foster public trust and deliver efficient and effective police services to residents. This includes, but is not limited to, planning and directing all department activities. The office is the final authority in all matters of policy and operations, and investigates complaints and allegations of employee misconduct. Located within the Office of the Chief are the Public Information Office, the Office of Professional Standards, the Chaplain program, and the Community Engagement Unit.

Key Measures	FY21 Actuals	FY22 Actuals			FY25 Proposed
Crime rate in the lowest third of COG communities	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Crime rate per 1,000 residents*	12.0	31.6	37.7	37.0	37.0
Residents feel safe in their neighborhoods (community survey)	96%	96%	97%	96%	96%
Compliance with CALEA National Accreditation Standards	100%	100%	100%	100%	1009
Officers per 1,000 residents	1.5	1.4	1.4	1.5	1.
Citizen complaints per 1,000 Police contacts	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.

_	(

Program Activities & Workload Measures (Dollar amounts expressed in thousands)	FY21 Actuals		FY23 Actuals		FY25 Proposed
Police Leadership & Management	\$6,409	\$7,219	\$8,529	\$7,044	\$6,734
Rate use of force utilized during arrest	4.4%	4.3%	6.1%	5.0%	5.0%
Police Public Information	\$719	\$597	\$563	\$559	\$644
Social media followers	184,500	196,500	213,100	200,000	220,000
News Releases issued	113	86	80	100	80
Community Engagement Unit**	\$0	\$34	\$944	\$884	\$1,003
Community engagement programs conducted	95	130	180	150	190
Number of community watch groups	628	667	648	700	668

\*The PWC Crime Rate was historically calculated using UCR methodology. In recent years, the FBI has mandated use of the NIBRS system, instead of UCR. The NIBRS methodology utilizes a wider set of data, which includes total crime reported to PWC Police Dept. Due to the updated method of calculation, the Crime Rate figure went from the teens (as seen in FY21 Actuals) to the thirties (actuals beginning in FY22).

\*\*The Community Engagement Unit was formerly the Crime Prevention Unit under the Operations program

