



Project Information			
Project Name:	TAP: Lions Field Rd Sidewalk	Federal Project#:	TAP-5B01(686)
Project Number:	EN24-076-380, C501, P101, R201	Project Type:	Construction
UPC:	125404	Charge Number:	
Project Number(Assoc)(UPC):			
Route Number:	1131	Route Type:	N/A
Project Limit--From:	Fuller Heights	To:	Triangle Elementary School
IPM Project Description:	LIONS FIELD ROAD SIDEWALK		
Additional Project Description:	Construct 5-foot wide concrete sidewalk, including curb and gutter, on the east side of Lions Field Road from Fuller Heights Road to existing sidewalk termini at the entrance of Triangle Elementary School.		
Purpose And Need:			
District:	City/County:	Residency:	
Northern Virginia	Prince William	Prince William	

The subject project meets the criteria for a Programmatic Categorical Exclusion in accordance with:

23 CFR 771.117

Description of PCE Category:

Construction of bicycle and pedestrian lanes, paths, and facilities.

PCE c03

UNUSUAL CIRCUMSTANCES:

1. The proposed action will not result in significant environmental impacts.

Finding: Review of the below anticipated impacts.

Notes:

2. The proposed action will not result in substantial controversy on environmental grounds.

Finding: Review of the below anticipated impacts.

Notes:

3. The proposed action will not result in significant impact on properties protected by Section 4(f) of the Department of Transportation Act or Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act.

Finding: Review of the below anticipated impacts.

Notes:

4. The project sponsor confirms that proposed action will not result in any inconsistencies with any Federal, State, or local law, requirement or administrative determination relating to the environmental aspects of the action.

IMPACTS:

5. The proposed action will not involve acquisition of more than minor amounts of temporary or permanent right of way.

Finding: *Other; brief narrative provided in notes.*

Notes: *Based on the review of the project scope dated 4/23/25, this project does not involve the acquisition of more than minor amounts of temporary or permanent right of way (RW).*

6. The proposed action will not involve residential or non-residential displacements.

Finding: *Review of scope indicates no displacements are required.*

Notes:

7. The proposed action will not result in capacity expansion of a roadway by addition of through lanes.

Finding: *Review of project scope indicates there will be no new lanes carrying traffic for 2500 feet or more.*

Notes:

8. The proposed action does not involve the construction of temporary access, or the closure of an existing road, bridge, or ramps that would result in major traffic disruptions based on the context and intensity of the impact.

Finding: *The project will not result in any road closures or detours constituting a major traffic disruption.*

Notes:

9. The proposed action does not result in a determination of adverse effect on historic properties pursuant to Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (54 U.S.C. §306108).

Finding: *Other; brief narrative provided in notes.*

Date of

Finding: *04/28/2025*

Notes: *A No Effect on historic properties determination was made in accordance with Stip. II.A of the 2016 programmatic Agreement for Transportation Undertakings in Virginia, as amended in 2021.*

10. The proposed action does not require the use of properties protected by Section 4(f) (49 U.S.C. § 303/23 U.S.C. § 138) that cannot be documented with an FHWA de minimis determination, or a programmatic Section 4(f) evaluation signed by FHWA.

Finding: *There will be no use of Section 4(f) properties.*

Date of

Finding: *04/28/2025*

Notes: *Based on documented review of available mapping and databases, no use of Section 4(f) properties is required.*

11. The proposed action does not require the acquisition of lands under the protection of Section 6(f) of the Land and Water Conservation Act of 1965 (54 U.S.C. § 200305) or other unique areas or special lands that were acquired in fee or easement with federal public-use-money and have deed restrictions or covenants on the property.

Finding: *Based on documented review of available mapping and databases, there will be no acquisition of lands protected by Section 6(f) or other unique areas or special lands.*

Notes:

12. The proposed action does not require a U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Section 404 (33 U.S.C. § 1344) permit other than a Nationwide or a General Permit.

Finding: *The project will not require a water quality permit based on the documentation provided.*

Date of

Finding: *04/23/2025*

Notes:

13. The proposed action does not require a U.S. Coast Guard bridge permit (33 U.S.C. § 401).

Finding: *The project does not involve work on bridges over navigable waters necessitating a USCG permit.*

Notes:

14. The proposed action does not require work that will cause an increase of the flood level by more than one foot within a regulatory floodway of water courses or water bodies or work affecting the base floodplain (100-year flood) elevations of a water course or lake, pursuant to 23 CFR §650 subpart A.

Finding: *Based on review of FEMA floodplain mapping, the project is not within a floodplain.*

Notes:

15. The proposed action is not defined as a "Type I project" per 23 CFR §772.5 and the VDOT noise manual for purposes of a noise analysis.

Finding: *The project is not defined as Type I based on the Noise determination.*

Notes:

16. The proposed action is not likely to adversely affect federally listed species or designated critical habitat, with the exception of a "may affect, likely to adversely affect" (MALAA) determination for a species with a Section 7 programmatic biological opinion.

Finding: *The project May Affect and Is Likely to Adversely Affect federally listed species with a programmatic biological opinion.*

Date of

Finding: 06/23/2025

Notes:

17. The proposed action does not involve any known or potential hazardous materials issues that represent a substantial liability or require substantial regulatory negotiation to resolve.

Finding: *(VDOT ONLY) Hazardous Materials Summary Report.*

Notes:

18. The proposed action is fiscally constrained and meets the provisions of the "Planning Documents and NEPA Approvals" document in accordance with 23 CFR §450.

Finding: *This project is included in a STIP Grouping.*

Notes:

19. The proposed action will not cause disproportionately high and adverse effects on any minority or low-income populations.

Finding:

Notes:

20. The project sponsor confirms the project does not involve consideration of multiple NEPA alternatives and is not listed in 23 CFR §771.115(a).

John C. Muse

6/25/2025

Environmental Manager, CE Determination

Date