

Project Information			
<b>Project Name:</b>	North Woodbridge Pedestrian Bridge	<b>Federal Project#:</b>	
<b>Project Number:</b>	0001-076-634	<b>Project Type:</b>	Construction
<b>UPC:</b>	122979	<b>Charge Number:</b>	
<b>Project Number(Assoc)(UPC):</b>			
<b>Route Number:</b>	1	<b>Route Type:</b>	Primary
<b>Project Limit--From:</b>	Occoquan Road/Dawson Beach Road	<b>To:</b>	Gordon Boulevard
<b>IPM Project Description:</b>	North Woodbridge Pedestrian Bridge Project		
<b>Additional Project Description:</b>	The Project proposes the construction of a pedestrian bridge crossing Route 1 (Richmond Highway) between Gordon Boulevard and Occoquan Road/Dawson Beach Road intersections. The proposed bridge will consist of a prefabricated steel truss bridge with switchback-type ramps.		
<b>Purpose And Need:</b>	The purpose of this project is to offer pedestrian access across Route 1. The project is needed to provide safe passage for pedestrians from the future Riverside Station development (Route 1 southbound) to the existing VRE Woodbridge Station along Route 1 northbound		
<b>District:</b>	<b>City/County:</b>	<b>Residency:</b>	
Northern Virginia	Prince William County	Prince William	

The subject project meets the criteria for a Programmatic Categorical Exclusion in accordance with:

X      23 CFR 771.117

**Description of PCE Category:**

C3      Federally-funded projects:  
          Construction of bicycle and pedestrian lanes, paths, and facilities.

## UNUSUAL CIRCUMSTANCES:

1. The proposed action will not result in significant environmental impacts.

Finding: Review of the below anticipated impacts.

Notes:

2. The proposed action will not result in substantial controversy on environmental grounds.

Finding: Review of the below anticipated impacts.

Notes:

3. The proposed action will not result in significant impact on properties protected by Section 4(f) of the Department of Transportation Act or Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act.

Finding: Review of the below anticipated impacts.

Notes:

4. The project sponsor confirms that proposed action will not result in any inconsistencies with any Federal, State, or local law, requirement or administrative determination relating to the environmental aspects of the action.

## IMPACTS:

5. The proposed action will not involve acquisition of more than minor amounts of temporary or permanent right of way.

Source or finding: Other; brief narrative provided in notes.

Notes: *30% design plans dated 08/15/2025 indicate the project would only require minor amounts of temporary or permanent right of way acquisition.*

6. The proposed action will not involve residential or non-residential displacements.

Source or finding: Review of scope indicates no displacements are required.

Notes:

7. The proposed action will not result in capacity expansion of a roadway by addition of through lanes.

Source or finding: Review of project plans indicates there is no capacity expansion.

Notes:

8. The proposed action does not involve the construction of temporary access, or the closure of an existing road, bridge, or ramps that would result in major traffic disruptions based on the context and intensity of the impact.

Source or finding: Traffic disruptions will be minor and continuous traffic flow will be maintained. There will be minimal to no detours and emergency vehicle and school bus access will be maintained.

Notes:

9. The proposed action does not result in a determination of adverse effect on historic properties pursuant to Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (54 U.S.C. §306108).

Source or finding: Coordination with DHR resulted in a No Effect Determination.

Date of Finding: 12/10/2025

Notes:

10. The proposed action does not require the use of properties protected by Section 4(f) (49 U.S.C. § 303/23 U.S.C. § 138) that cannot be documented with an FHWA *de minimis* determination, or a programmatic Section 4(f) evaluation signed by FHWA.

Source or finding: There will be no use of Section 4(f) properties

Date of Finding: 9/8/2025

Notes:

11. The proposed action does not require the acquisition of lands under the protection of Section 6(f) of the Land and Water Conservation Act of 1965 (54 U.S.C. § 200305) or other unique areas or special lands that were acquired in fee or easement

with federal public-use-money and have deed restrictions or covenants on the property.

Source or finding: Based on documented review of available mapping and databases, there will be no acquisition of lands protected by Section 6(f) or other unique areas or special lands.

Notes:

12. The proposed action does not require a U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Section 404 (33 U.S.C. § 1344) permit other than a Nationwide or a General Permit.

Source or finding: The project will not require a water quality permit based on the documentation provided.

Date of Finding: 8/15/2025

Notes: *Based on 30% design plans dated 08/15/2025, no impacts to WOUS are proposed.*

13. The proposed action does not require a U.S. Coast Guard bridge permit (33 U.S.C. § 401).

Source or finding: The project does not involve work on bridges over navigable waters necessitating a USCG permit.

Notes:

14. The proposed action does not require work that will cause an increase of the flood level by more than one foot within a regulatory floodway of water courses or water bodies or work affecting the base floodplain (100-year flood) elevations of a water course or lake, pursuant to 23 CFR §650 subpart A.

Source or finding: Based on review of FEMA floodplain mapping, the project is not within a floodplain.

Notes:

15. The proposed action is not defined as a "Type I project" per 23 CFR §772.5 and the VDOT noise manual for purposes of a noise analysis.

Source or finding: The project is not defined as Type I based on the Noise determination.

Notes:

16. The proposed action is not likely to adversely affect federally listed species or designated critical habitat, with the exception of a "may affect, likely to adversely affect" (MALAA) determination for a species with a Section 7 programmatic biological opinion.

Source or finding: The project is Not Likely to Adversely Affect federally listed species or designated critical habitat.

Date of Finding: 10/23/2025

Notes: *The USFWS provided concurrence on 10/23/2025 that the project is NLAA the Northern Long-eared Bat and Tricolored Bat.*

17. The proposed action does not involve any known or potential hazardous materials issues that represent a substantial liability or require substantial regulatory negotiation to resolve.

Source or finding: (LAP ONLY) Due diligence by the locality.

Notes:

18. The proposed action is fiscally constrained and meets the provisions of the "Planning Documents and NEPA Approvals" document in accordance with 23 CFR §450.

Source or finding: This project is included in a STIP Grouping.

Notes: *The STIP Grouping is Construction : Transportation Alternatives/Byway/Non-Traditional*

19. The project sponsor confirms the project does not involve consideration of multiple NEPA alternatives and is not listed in 23 CFR §771.115(a).

---

Environmental Manager, CE Determination on behalf of FHWA

---

Date